

**TABLE 1: SUMMARY OF STATUTORY AND POLICY CONSIDERATIONS**

Government Code §56668 and LAFCO Policies require that the review of a proposal shall consider the following factors:

<b>FACTOR TO CONSIDER</b>	<b>COMMENT</b>
1. Need for organized services, probable future needs [§56668(b)1 and Policies 3.1.4(b), 6.1.7]	<b>Consistent.</b> Annexation into EID is necessary in order to receive residential water service for an existing single-family residence with a failed well.
2. Ability to serve, level and range of service, time frames, conditions to receive service [§56668(j), Policy 3.3]	<b>Consistent.</b> Water service will continue to be provided through the existing on-site water meter and water line to the parcel. EID delivery of water service to the parcel after LAFCO approval of the OASA in February 2021.
3. Timely availability of adequate water supply [§56668(k)]	<b>Consistent.</b> An estimated one (1) EDU is required to serve the annexed parcel. As of August 2019, EID had approximately 22,162 EDUs available for purchase in the Western/Eastern supply area.
4. Alternatives to service, other agency boundaries, and local gov't structure [Policies 3.3.2.2(g), 6.1.3]	<b>Consistent.</b> EID is the only public water service provider in this area. The current well to the residence failed in December 2020 and drilling a new well is not feasible due to the small parcel size and the location of existing power and septic infrastructure.
5. Significant negative service Impacts [§56668.3(b), Policy 6.2.4]	<b>Consistent.</b> There are not expected to be any negative impacts to the current level of service for existing EID customers.
6. Coordination of applications [Policy 3.1.9]	<b>Consistent.</b> There are no additional public services required for this property which would require LAFCO action. No neighboring properties require annexation into EID at this time.
7. Present cost/adequacy of governmental services, including public facilities [Policy 3.3.2.2]	<b>Consistent.</b> The landowners paid for all costs associated with installation of the water meter and connecting water lines to deliver service to the parcel.
8. Effect of proposal on cost & adequacy of service in area and adjacent areas [§56668(b) and Policies 3.3 and 6.1.8]	<b>Consistent.</b> Property tax revenue and water use charges from the annexing parcel will support the costs of service.
9. Effect of alternative courses of action on cost & adequacy of service in area and adjacent areas [§56668(c)]	<b>Consistent.</b> Denial of the annexation would result in removal of the existing on-site water meter and a loss of the meter credit with EID.

FACTOR TO CONSIDER	COMMENT
10. Sufficiency of revenues, per capital assessed valuation [56668(j)]	<b>Consistent.</b> The annexation is expected to provide sufficient revenue to offset the short- and long-term costs to EID for providing water service.
11. Revenue producing territory [Policy 6.1.1]	<b>Consistent:</b> Collected revenue is expected to offset the cost of providing water service to the annexation area; however, the revenue is not expected to exceed those costs.
12. 56668.3 “best interest” [§56668.3(a)1]	<b>Consistent.</b> The annexation is in the best interests of landowners and is consistent with EID Policies.
13. Boundaries: logical, contiguous, not difficult to serve, definite and certain [§56668(f), §56741-cities; Policies 3.9.2, 3.9.3, 3.9.4]	<b>Consistent.</b> The subject parcel is adjacent to the EID service area on three sides and services have already been extended to the site without any problems.
14. Topography, natural boundaries, drainage basins, land area [Policies 3.9.6 and 3.9.7]	<b>Consistent:</b> There are no topographical features that hinder service to this area.
15. Creation of islands, corridors, irregular boundaries [Policies 3.9.3, 3.9.4, 3.9.7]	<b>Consistent.</b> The subject parcel is adjacent to the EID service area on three sides, annexation will not create an irregular boundary.
16. Conformance to lines of assessment, ownership [Policy 3.9.2]	<b>Consistent:</b> The boundaries of the proposed annexation conform to the existing lines of assessment and ownership of APN 092-060-055.
17. Spheres of Influence [§56668(i); Policy 3.9.1]	<b>Consistent:</b> The subject parcel is currently within the EID sphere of influence.
18. Effect on adjacent areas, communities of interest [56668(c)]	<b>Consistent.</b> The annexation will have no effect on adjacent areas.
19. Information or comments from landowners or owners [§56668(n)]	<b>Consistent:</b> The annexation was initiated by landowner petition with 100% landowner consent.
20. Effect on other community services, schools	<b>Consistent.</b> There are no negative impacts expected for other public service providers to the proposal area.
21. Other agency comments, objections [§56668(i); Policy 3.1.4 (l)]	<b>Consistent.</b> Affected agencies were notified of the proposal, no comments or objections have been received.
22. Fair share of regional housing needs [§56668(m)]	<b>Consistent.</b> This proposal will neither assist or detract from the County’s ability to achieve its RHNA targets.

FACTOR TO CONSIDER	COMMENT
23. Land use, information relating to existing land use designations [§56668(o)]	<b>Consistent.</b> The annexation does not include a development proposal. The current residential uses are consistent with the zoning (R1A) and land use designation (MDR).
24. Population, density, growth, likelihood of growth in, and in adjacent areas, over 10 years [§56668(a)]	<b>Consistent.</b> There are currently two registered voters residing in the proposal area. No additional future development that would increase population or growth in the area is proposed or expected.
25. Proximity to other populated areas [Policy 3.1.4 (a)]	<b>Consistent.</b> The annexation site is located in the Diamond Springs-El Dorado Community Region. The site is generally surrounded by existing residential development on three sides.
26. Consistency with General Plans, specific plans, zoning [§56668(h); Policy 3.1.4(g)]	<b>Consistent:</b> The annexation and existing single-family residence are consistent with the current zoning (R1A) and land use designations (MDR) of the subject parcel.
27. Physical and economic integrity of agriculture lands and open space [Policy 3.1.4(e), §56016, 56064]	<b>Consistent.</b> The annexation will not have an adverse effect on agricultural land or open space.
28. Optional factor: regional growth goals and policies [§56668.5]	<b>Consistent.</b> The annexation proposal does not include any type of new housing or other development. It will neither assist or detract from the County's ability to achieve its RHNA targets.
29. Information contained in a local hazard mitigation plan, a safety element of a general plan, and any maps that identify land as a very high fire hazard zone [§56668(q)]	<b>Consistent.</b> The subject parcel and surrounding area are within a designated moderate fire hazard zone.