#### AB 68 (Ward D) Land use: streamlined housing approvals: density, subdivision, and utility approvals.

Current Text: Amended: 4/12/2023 html pdf

# Introduced: 12/8/2022

Last Amended: 4/12/2023

**Status:** 4/28/2023-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was H. & C.D. on 3/16/2023)(May be acted upon Jan 2024)

| l | Desk 2 year Fiscal Floor Desk Policy Fiscal Floor |  |  |  |  |  |  | Conf. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---|---------------------------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
| Į | 1st House 2nd House                               |  |  |  |  |  |  | Conc. | Enroneu  | veloeu | Chaptered |

#### Summary:

Would require a local government to approve a proposed housing development pursuant to a streamlined, ministerial approval process if the development meets certain objective planning standards, including, but not limited to, a requirement that the proposed parcel for the development be a climate-smart parcel, as described, or be included in the applicable region's sustainable communities strategy as a priority development area. The bill would set forth procedures for approving these developments and would set forth various limitations for these developments. The bill would authorize the Department of Housing and Community Development to review, adopt, amend, and repeal guidelines, rules, and regulations to implement uniform standards or criteria that supplement or clarify the terms, references, or standards set forth by this process.

#### Position: Watch

Subject: Planning

**CALAFCO Comments:** This bill was introduced as a spot holder in December, 2022, then was gutted and amended on March 16, 2023.

It now seeks to set up ministerial approvals for developments and certain water and sewer service extensions for developments that meet certain parameters. Parameters include that the parcel must be in a high or moderate resource area as categorized by the opportunity maps maintained by the California Tax Credit Allocation Committee, be located within one-mile of transit but be in a very low vehicle travel area, and within one mile of assorted restaurants, bars, coffee shops, etc. Additionally, types of locations that do not qualify are also enumerated. Those include farmlands, wetlands, high fire hazard severity zones (as determined by Cal Fire), in proximity to a hazardous waste site, within a delineated earthquake fault zone, within a special flood hazard area or within a regulatory floodway, lands identified for conservation, protected habitat, and lands under a conservation easement.

3/31/2023: Watch position taken by Leg Committee.

4/21/2023: CALAFCO received word from the Assembly Housing and Community Development Committee, that this bill will not be heard this year.

Under the procedure that would be established by this bill, a minimum of 30 days notice to LAFCo would be required for the public hearing should a county seek to amend its general plan to increase the planned density on climate resilient lands.

Failed to meet deadlines and now a 2 year bill that cannot be acted upon until January, 2024.

# <u>AB 399</u> (<u>Boerner</u> D) Water Ratepayers Protections Act of 2023: County Water Authority Act: exclusion of territory: procedure.

Current Text: Chaptered: 10/13/2023 html pdf Introduced: 2/2/2023

# Last Amended: 9/1/2023

**Status:** 10/13/2023-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 802, Statutes of 2023.

| Desk Policy Fiscal Floor Desk Policy Fiscal Floor |       |       |  |  |       |       | Conf. | Enrolled | Vetoed  | Chantered |           |
|---------------------------------------------------|-------|-------|--|--|-------|-------|-------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|
|                                                   | 1st H | louse |  |  | 2nd H | louse |       | Conc.    | Enroned | veloeu    | Chaptered |

# Summary:

The County Water Authority Act provides for the formation of county water authorities and grants to those authorities specified powers with regards to providing water service. The act provides 2 methods of excluding territory from any county water authority, one of which is that a public agency whose corporate area as a unit is part of a county water authority may obtain exclusion of

the area by submitting to the electors within the public agency, at any general or special election, the proposition of excluding the public agency's corporate area from the county water authority. Current law requires that, if a majority of the electors approve the proposition, specified actions take place to implement the exclusion. This bill, the Water Ratepayers Protections Act of 2023, would additionally require the public entity to submit the proposition of excluding the public agency's corporate area from the county water authority to the electors within the territory of the county water authority. The bill would require the 2 elections to be separate; however, the bill would authorize both elections to run concurrently. The bill would require the ballot materials to include a fiscal impact statement, as described.

Attachments: CALAFCO Oppose as Amended Letter AB 399 Fact Sheet

Position: Oppose
Subject: Detachment Proceedings
CALAFCO Comments: AB 399 was previously AB 530, which did not pass out of policy committee before deadlines.

AB 399, originally addressed the Vehicle Code but was gutted and amended on 6/14/2023 to become: the Water Ratepayers Protections Act of 2023: County Water Authority Act: exclusion of territory: procedure. A fast moving bill, this is proceeding as an urgency bill which would take effect upon passage. The action is being undertaken to counter two detachments that have been approved by the San Diego LAFCo, subject to exit fees.

Under the uncodified County Water Authority Act of 1943, detachment proceedings require a vote of the residents in the detaching district. This bill seeks to add a second vote among the larger population of the full county water authority. While the vote among the residents of the detaching district is essentially consistent with LAFCo laws, requiring a second vote among the larger population of the entire water authority is not. AB 399 would set many precedents including: legislative "fixes" for contested LAFCo decisions; the ability of the legislature to unilaterally change the exit terms for multi-government consortiums after entities join; and the permissibility of requiring double votes on matters.

Staff met with the author on 7/11/2023 who remained steadfast on the bill. The bill was heard the next day (7/12/23) by the Senate Gov & Finance Committee where CALAFCO provided lead opposition. The bill passed by a 5-3 vote and is now headed to the Senate floor for consideration sometime after the summer recess. If AB 399 passes there, it will return to the Assembly. It is unclear at this time whether it would be immediately scheduled for Assembly concurrence, or before the ALGC.

The author's Fact Sheet, as well as CALAFCO's letter in opposition, can be found in the attachments section.

#### AB 530 (Boerner D) Vehicles: electric bicycles.

Current Text: Amended: 7/13/2023 html pdf Introduced: 2/8/2023 Last Amended: 7/13/2023 Status: 9/14/2023-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was TRANS. on 9/14/2023)(May be acted upon Jan 2024)

| Desk | 2 year  | Fiscal | Floor | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|------|---------|--------|-------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
|      | 1st ⊦   | louse  |       |      | 2nd H  | louse  |       | Conc. | Enroneu  | veloeu | Chaptered |
| C    | 0.000/1 |        |       |      |        |        |       |       |          |        |           |

#### Summary:

Would prohibit a person under 12 years of age from operating an electric bicycle of any class. The bill would state the intent of the Legislature to create an e-bike license program with an online written test and a state-issued photo identification for those persons without a valid driver's license, prohibit persons under 12 years of age from riding e-bikes, and create a stakeholders working group composed of the Department of Motor Vehicles, the Department of the California Highway Patrol, the Transportation Agency, bicycle groups, policy and fiscal staff, and other relevant stakeholders to work on recommendations to establish an e-bike training program and license. Because the bill would prohibit certain persons from riding electric bicycles, the violation of which would be a crime, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

#### Subject: Water

**CALAFCO Comments:** As introduced, this bill was relative to greenhouse emissions. However, it was gutted and amended on 5/15/2023 and now addresses county water authorities.

Under existing law, the governing body of any public agency has an option (phrased as a "may") to submit to the voters any proposition to exclude the corporate area of that public agency from a

county water authority. This bill would add the procedures under which that optional election would be conducted. Specifically, notice would be required in the manner already defined within subdivision (c) of Section 10. The election would be conducted and returns canvased as provided by law for the elections in the public agency, and a majority of electors within county water authority territory would be needed for passage. The new procedure would also require that these elections will be separate elections but may run with another election.

On 6/16/2023, this topic was transitioned to AB 399 through the gut and amend process. Amendments of 7/13/2023 make this bill now relative to electric bicycles which is not a concern to CALAFCO. Position updated to -None-.

#### <u>AB 557</u> (Hart D) Open meetings: local agencies: teleconferences.

Current Text: Chaptered: 10/9/2023 html pdf

Introduced: 2/8/2023

#### Last Amended: 9/1/2023

**Status:** 10/8/2023-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 534, Statutes of 2023.

| 1st House 2nd House Conc. Enrolled Verded Chaptered |   | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---|------|--------|--------|-------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
|                                                     | l |      | 1st H  | ouse   |       |      | 2nd F  | louse  |       | Conc. | Enrolled | vetoed | Chaptered |

#### Summary:

The Ralph M. Brown Act allows for meetings to occur via teleconferencing subject to certain requirements, particularly that the legislative body notice each teleconference location of each member that will be participating in the public meeting, that each teleconference location be accessible to the public, that members of the public be allowed to address the legislative body at each teleconference location, that the legislative body post an agenda at each teleconference location, and that at least a quorum of the legislative body participate from locations within the boundaries of the local agency's jurisdiction. The act provides an exemption to the jurisdictional requirement for health authorities, as defined. Current law, until January 1, 2024, authorizes the legislative body of a local agency to use teleconferencing without complying with those specified teleconferencing requirements in specified circumstances when a declared state of emergency is in effect. Those circumstances are that (1) state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing, (2) the legislative body is meeting for the purpose of determining whether, as a result of the emergency, meeting in person would present imminent risks to the health or safety of attendees, or (3) the legislative body has previously made that determination. If there is a continuing state of emergency, or if state or local officials have imposed or recommended measures to promote social distancing, existing law requires a legislative body to make specified findings not later than 30 days after the first teleconferenced meeting, and to make those findings every 30 days thereafter, in order to continue to meet under these abbreviated teleconferencing procedures. This bill would revise the authority of a legislative body to hold a teleconference meeting under those abbreviated teleconferencing procedures when a declared state of emergency is in effect.

#### Position: Watch

#### Subject: Brown Act

**CALAFCO Comments:** Similar in scope to SB 411, this bill is follow-on legislation to AB 361 (2022) and seeks to return some of the pandemic-era teleconferencing provisions to the Brown Act and would change the timeline for legislative bodies to reaffirm an emergency from the current 30 days to 45 days. This bill is sponsored by CSDA.

# <u>AB 805</u> (Arambula D) Drinking water consolidation: sewer service.

Current Text: Amended: 3/9/2023 html pdf

# Introduced: 2/13/2023

#### Last Amended: 3/9/2023

**Status:** 5/19/2023-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR.

| SUSPENSE FILE 0 | n 4/19/2023 | )(May be | acted upon | Jan 2024) |  |
|-----------------|-------------|----------|------------|-----------|--|
|                 |             |          |            |           |  |
|                 |             |          |            |           |  |

| Desk | Policy | 2 year | Floor | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Envelled | Vatard | Chambanad |
|------|--------|--------|-------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
|      | 1st    | House  |       |      | 2nd H  | louse  |       | Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
| Summ | arv    |        |       |      |        |        |       |       |          |        |           |

#### Summary:

Would authorize the State Water Resources Control Board, if sufficient funds are available, to order consolidation of sewer service along with an order of consolidation of drinking water systems when both of the receiving and subsumed water systems provide sewer service and after the state board engages in certain activities, including, but not limited to, consulting with the relevant regional water board and the receiving water system and conducting outreach to ratepayers and residents served by the receiving and subsumed water systems, as provided.

**Position:** Watch With Concerns **Subject:** Water

**CALAFCO Comments:** This bill would authorize the state board, if sufficient funds are available, to order consolidation of sewer service along with an order of consolidation of drinking water systems when both of the receiving and subsumed water systems provide sewer service and after the state board engages in certain activities. Under existing section (b)(3) LAFCos must be consulted and their input considered in regards to the provision of water service but sewer systems seem to be lacking.

Failed to meet deadlines and now a 2 year bill that cannot be acted upon until January, 2024.

# <u>AB 817</u> (Pacheco D) Open meetings: teleconferencing: subsidiary body.

Current Text: Amended: 3/16/2023 html pdf

Introduced: 2/13/2023

# Last Amended: 3/16/2023

**Status:** 5/5/2023-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(3). (Last location was L. GOV. on 3/16/2023)(May be acted upon Jan 2024)

| Desk 2 year Fiscal Floor | Desk Policy Fiscal Floor | Conf. Enrolled | Vetoed Chaptered |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|------------------|
| 1st House                | 2nd House                | Conc.          | Vetoeu Chaptereu |

## Summary:

Current law, until January 1, 2026, authorizes the legislative body of a local agency to use alternative teleconferencing in certain circumstances related to the particular member if at least a quorum of its members participate from a singular physical location that is open to the public and situated within the agency's jurisdiction and other requirements are met, including restrictions on remote participation by a member of the legislative body. This bill would authorize a subsidiary body, as defined, to use alternative teleconferencing provisions similar to the emergency provisions indefinitely and without regard to a state of emergency. In order to use teleconferencing pursuant to the Ralph M. Brown Act, the bill would require the legislative body that established the subsidiary body by charter, ordinance, resolution, or other formal action to make specified findings by majority vote, before the subsidiary body uses teleconferencing for the first time and every 12 months thereafter.

# Position: Watch

#### Subject: Brown Act

**CALAFCO Comments:** This bill appears to be a spot holder in that it currently only makes minor grammatical changes. The lack of substance raises concern regarding future changes to this bill.

3/16/2023: The bill was amended to speak specifically to teleconferenced meetings of subsidiary bodies, defined as a body that serves exclusively in an advisory capacity, and is not authorized to take final action on legislation, regulations, contracts, licenses, permits, or any other entitlements. For qualifying bodies, this bill would remove the requirement to post an agenda at the location of the subsidiary body member who was participating from off site- providing that the legislative body that formed the subsidiary body has previously made findings noting that teleconferenced meetings of the subsidiary body would enhance public access, and would promote the attractions, retention and diversity of the subsidiary body. The superior legislative body would need to revisit the matter and repeat those finding every 12 months thereafter. This bill also reaffirms that other provisions of the Brown Act are applicable to subsidiary bodies.

Failed to meet deadlines and now a 2 year bill that cannot be acted upon until January, 2024.

# AB 828 (Connolly D) Sustainable groundwater management: managed wetlands.

Current Text: Amended: 4/17/2023 html pdf

```
Introduced: 2/13/2023
```

Last Amended: 4/17/2023

**Status:** 4/28/2023-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was W.,P. & W. on 3/2/2023)(May be acted upon Jan 2024)

| Į | Desk                | 2 year | Fiscal | Floor | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.   | Enrolled | Vetoed    | Chaptered |
|---|---------------------|--------|--------|-------|------|--------|--------|-------|---------|----------|-----------|-----------|
|   | 1st House 2nd House |        |        |       |      |        |        | Conc. | Enroneu | veloeu   | Chaptered |           |
| 1 | -                   |        |        |       |      |        |        |       |         |          |           |           |

# Summary:

The Sustainable Groundwater Management Act requires all groundwater basins designated as high- or medium-priority basins by the Department of Water Resources that are designated as basins subject to critical conditions of overdraft to be managed under a groundwater sustainability plan or coordinated groundwater sustainability plans by January 31, 2020, and requires all other groundwater basins designated as high- or medium-priority basins to be managed under a groundwater sustainability plan or coordinated groundwater sustainability plans by January 31, 2020, and requires all other a groundwater sustainability plan or coordinated groundwater sustainability plans by January 31, 2022, except as specified. Current law defines various terms for purposes of the act. This bill would add various defined terms for purposes of the act, including the term "managed wetland."

Position: None at this time

### Subject: Water

**CALAFCO Comments:** Adds definitions for Managed Wetlands, and Small community water system to Water Code Section 10721.

4/17/2023: Amended to define agencies and entities required or excluded from existing 10726.4 (a)(4). Amends Water Code section 10730.2 to add language regarding fees, and amends Water Code section 10733 to address groundwater sustainability plans.

Failed to make April policy committee deadline and now cannot be acted upon until January 2024.

### AB 918 (Garcia D) Health care district: County of Imperial.

Current Text: Chaptered: 10/9/2023 html pdf

Introduced: 2/14/2023

Last Amended: 9/11/2023

**Status:** 10/8/2023-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 549, Statutes of 2023.

| Desk | Policy    | Fiscal | Floor | Desk Policy Fiscal Floor |       |       | Conf. | Enrolled | Vetoed  | Chaptered |           |
|------|-----------|--------|-------|--------------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|---------|-----------|-----------|
|      | 1st House |        |       |                          | 2nd H | louse |       | Conc.    | Linoned | veloeu    | Chaptered |

### Summary:

Would form a local health care district in the County of Imperial, designated as the Imperial Valley Healthcare District, that includes all of the County of Imperial. The bill would require the initial board of directors of the Imperial Valley Healthcare District to be appointed from and by specified bodies, including among others, the Imperial County Board of Supervisors, the Pioneers Memorial Healthcare District Board of Directors, and the Heffernan Memorial Healthcare District Board of Directors. The bill would require the initial board of directors to recommend a permanent funding source mechanism to be presented to and approved by voters via ballot measure. The bill would require the initial board of directors to enter negotiations with El Centro Regional Medical Center to decide the terms of the acquisition of the hospital. The bill would require the initial board of directors to finalize the terms of the acquisition by November 5, 2024. The bill would require the City of El Centro to negotiate in good faith with the Imperial Valley Healthcare District. The bill would require the board of directors to hold a minimum of 3 public meetings between the effective date of the bill and January 1, 2025, as specified. The bill would require the board of directors to recommend to the Imperial County Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) dates for the dissolutions of the Pioneers Memorial Healthcare District and Heffernan Memorial Healthcare District and would authorize the board to recommend separate dates for each district's dissolution. The bill would require, by January 1, 2025, the Imperial County LAFCO to dissolve the Heffernan Memorial Healthcare District and the Pioneers Memorial Healthcare District and would transfer the assets, rights, and responsibilities of the dissolved districts to the Imperial Valley Healthcare District. The bill would require, until the dissolution of both of those districts, the Heffernan Memorial Healthcare District to hold a temporary clerical role for the Board of Directors of the Imperial Valley Healthcare District, as specified. The bill would extend the terms of the board members of the districts being dissolved until their respective dissolution date or January 1, 2025, whichever occurs first.

#### Attachments:

CALAFCO 7-7-23 Letter in Opposition to 7-6-2023 amendments CALAFCO Letter in Opposition to 4-17-2023 bill amendment CALAFCO Oppose Letter

Position: Oppose Subject: Other

**CALAFCO Comments:** As introduced, this bill was merely a spotholder that stated an intent to create a countywide healthcare district in Imperial County.

March 23, 2023, the bill was amended with specifics. It now seeks to rename the Pioneer Memorial Healthcare District to the Imperial County Healthcare District (ICHD) and then sets up what, in essence, is a ministerial process for the countywide expansion of the ICHD. The expansion process is first initiated by the ICHD Resolution of Application, which is required to be filed with LAFCo no later than January 5, 2024. The LAFCo then has 150 days to complete the entire process, including the vote. Protest proceedings for that expansion would be waived under this bill. The bill also does not allow the LAFCo to deny the application. The bill also notes that future changes of organization or reorganization of the resulting districts would need to follow the normal provisions of CKH. A copy of CALAFCO's letter in opposition can be found in the attachments section.

4/17/2023: the bill was amended to entirely remove LAFCo involvement. CALAFCO's second letter of opposition that addresses this amended version can be found in the attachments section. 5/15/2023: The bill was amended again with a return of LAFCo into the process. However, it does again require LAFCo approval. As amended, the bill would rename the Pioneers Memorial Healthcare District to the Imperial Valley Healthcare District, then authorizes the expansion of the newly formed Imperial Valley Healthcare District to include all of the County of Imperial. As before, the bill requires the newly formed district to submit a resolution of application to the Imperial County LAFCo to initiate proceedings to expand the district, then requires the commission to order the expansion subject to a vote of the registered voters within the territory to be annexed. The bill provides for expansion of the district upon voter approval and providing that a funding source is also approved, if necessary. If expansion is approved, the Heffernan Memorial Healthcare District would transfer its assets, rights, and responsibilities to the Imperial Valley Healthcare District. The bill goes on to address other housekeeping issue such as the composition of the newly formed district board of directors.

7/12/2023, the bill was approved by the Senate Governance and Finance Committee, with the support of Imperial LAFCo.

Position change to Neutral will be recommended to the Legislative Committee on 7/28/2023. Senate Appropriations hearing set for August 14, 2023.

7/13/2023, the bill was again amended. It still forms the new district outside of the LAFCo process and only considers LAFCo in a tangential way. Specifically, Imperial LAFCo is to collaborate with the new health district's board of directors to determine the voting districts and terms of the board positions, to determine the appropriate dates to dissolve the two healthcare districts (and that the dissolution shall occur by January 1, 2025), and to receive annual reports from the new district.

8/14/2023, heard in Appropriations but placed in the Suspense file.

# <u>AB 930</u> (<u>Friedman</u> D) Local government: Reinvestment in Infrastructure for a Sustainable and Equitable California (RISE) districts.

Current Text: Amended: 4/26/2023 html pdf

Introduced: 2/14/2023

Last Amended: 4/26/2023

**Status:** 5/19/2023-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(5). (Last location was APPR. on 4/25/2023)(May be acted upon Jan 2024)

| Desk | Policy | 2 year | Floor |  |       |       |  | Conf. | - Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|------|--------|--------|-------|--|-------|-------|--|-------|------------|--------|-----------|
|      | 1st    | House  |       |  | 2nd H | louse |  | Conc. | Linoneu    | Veloeu | chaptereu |
| ~    |        |        |       |  |       |       |  |       |            |        |           |

# Summary:

Current law authorizes certain local agencies to form a community revitalization authority within a community revitalization and investment area, as defined, and authorizes an authority to, among other things, provide for low- and moderate-income housing and issue bonds, as provided. Current law authorizes a community revitalization and investment plan to provide for the division of taxes within the plan area. This bill would authorize the legislative bodies of 2 or more cities or counties to jointly form a Reinvestment in Infrastructure for a Sustainable and Equitable California district (RISE district) in accordance with specified procedures. The bill would authorize a special district to join a RISE district, by resolution, as specified.

# Position: Neutral

Subject: Special District Principle Acts

**CALAFCO Comments:** This bill has a similar overtone to SB 852 Dodd in 2022 regarding the formation of climate resilience districts outside of the LAFCo process.

As introduced, this bill (AB 930) is focused on the generation of funding and the governance of the expenditure of those funds. However, it should be carefully tracked in case that mission is expanded.

Failed to meet deadlines and now a 2 year bill that cannot be acted upon until January, 2024.

# AB 1379 (Papan D) Open meetings: local agencies: teleconferences.

Current Text: Amended: 3/23/2023 html pdf

```
Introduced: 2/17/2023
```

Last Amended: 3/23/2023

**Status:** 4/28/2023-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was L. GOV. on 3/23/2023)(May be acted upon Jan 2024)

| Desk 2 year Fiscal Floor | Desk Policy Fiscal Floor | Conf. Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|--------------------------|--------------------------|----------------|--------|-----------|
| 1st House                | 2nd House                | Conc.          | veloeu | Chaptered |

## Summary:

The Ralph M. Brown Act, requires, with specified exceptions, that all meetings of a legislative body be open and public, and that all persons be permitted to attend unless a closed session is authorized. The act generally requires for teleconferencing that the legislative body of a local agency that elects to use teleconferencing post agendas at all teleconference locations, identify each teleconference location in the notice and agenda of the meeting or proceeding, and have each teleconference location be accessible to the public. Current law also requires that, during the teleconference, at least a quorum of the members of the legislative body participate from locations within the boundaries of the territory over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction.

This bill, with respect to those general provisions on teleconferencing, would require a legislative body electing to use teleconferencing to instead post agendas at a singular designated physical meeting location, as defined, rather than at all teleconference locations. The bill would remove the requirements for the legislative body of the local agency to identify each teleconference location in the notice and agenda, that each teleconference location be accessible to the public, and that at least a quorum of the members participate from locations within the boundaries of the territory over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction.

# Position: Watch

# Subject: Brown Act

**CALAFCO Comments:** Originally introduced as a spotholder to address "Local agencies: financial affairs", this bill was gutted and amended on March 23, 2023, and now seeks amendment of the Brown Act's teleconferencing provisions. If successful, GC Section 54953 (b)(3) would be amended to remove the requirement to post agendas for teleconferenced meetings at all locations, and would instead limit the posting to a newly defined "singular designated physical meeting location", which is required to have either two-way audiovisual capabilities, or two-way telephone service for the public to remotely hear and address the body. Additionally, the body would have to hold at least two meetings in person each year.

Failed to meet deadlines and now a 2 year bill that cannot be acted upon until January, 2024.

# AB 1460 (Bennett D) Local government.

Current Text: Introduced: 2/17/2023 html pdf

#### **Introduced:** 2/17/2023

**Status:** 5/5/2023-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(3). (Last location was PRINT on 2/17/2023)(May be acted upon Jan 2024)

| 2 year | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk | Policy | olicy Fiscal Floor Conf. |  |       | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|--------|--------|--------|-------|------|--------|--------------------------|--|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
|        | 1st Ho | ouse   |       |      | 2nd H  | louse                    |  | Conc. | Enrolled | vetoea | Cnaptered |
| _      |        |        |       |      |        |                          |  |       |          |        |           |

Summary:

Existing law, the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000, provides the exclusive authority and procedure for the initiation, conduct, and completion of changes of organization and reorganization for cities and districts, except as specified. This bill would make a nonsubstantive change to the provision naming the act.

#### Position: Neutral

Subject: CKH General Procedures, Other

**CALAFCO Comments:** As introduced, this bill makes only a minor nonsubstantive change to CKH in that it would merely add commas to Section 56000 so that it would read: "This division shall be known, and may be cited, as the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000."

3/24/2023: No change since introduction.

Failed to meet deadlines and now a 2 year bill that cannot be acted upon until January, 2024.

#### <u>AB 1637</u> (Irwin D) Local government: internet websites and email addresses.

Current Text: Chaptered: 10/9/2023 html pdf

Introduced: 2/17/2023

#### Last Amended: 6/29/2023

Status: 10/8/2023-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 586,

Statutes of 2023.

|   | licy Fiscal | Floor | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Envolled | Vatand | Chantered |
|---|-------------|-------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
| 1 | 1st House   |       |      | 2nd H  | louse  |       | Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |

#### Summary:

Would, no later than January 1, 2029, require a local agency, as defined, that maintains an internet website for use by the public to ensure that the internet website utilizes a ".gov" top-level domain or a ".ca.gov" second-level domain and would require a local agency that maintains an internet website that is noncompliant with that requirement to redirect that internet website to a domain name that does utilize a ".gov" or ".ca.gov" domain. This bill, no later than January 1, 2029, would also require a local agency that maintains public email addresses to ensure that each email address provided to its employees utilizes a ".gov" domain name or a ".ca.gov" domain name. By adding to the duties of local officials, the bill would impose a state-mandated local program.

**Position:** Watch **Subject:** LAFCo Administration **CALAFCO Comments:** As introduced, this bill would have required LAFCos who do not already have website domains ending with the ".gov" extension to transition to it no later than January 1, 2027. This bill was not considered as having a broad impact on LAFCos given that 12 of them already use the .gov extension.

5/18/2023: The bill was amended and is not longer applicable to LAFCos as its definition of a local agency has been narrowly defined to only cities and counties. However, we are continue our Watch position to monitor for potential changes.

## AB 1753 (Committee on Local Government) Local government: reorganization.

Current Text: Chaptered: 6/29/2023 html pdf

#### Introduced: 3/2/2023

**Status:** 6/29/2023-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State - Chapter 25, Statutes of 2023.

|   | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk Policy Fiscal Floor |  | Conf. Enrol | Envelled | Vetoed | Chaptered |        |           |
|---|-----------|--------|--------|-------|--------------------------|--|-------------|----------|--------|-----------|--------|-----------|
| I | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House                |  |             |          | Conc.  | Enrolled  | vetoed | Chaptered |
| - |           |        |        |       |                          |  |             |          |        |           |        |           |

#### Summary:

The Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 provides the sole and exclusive authority and procedure for the initiation, conduct, and completion of changes of organization and reorganization for cities and districts. The act requires a petitioner or legislative body desiring to initiate proceedings for a change of organization or reorganization to submit an application to the executive officer of the principal county. The act specifies when an application is complete and acceptable for filing, and requires the executive officer to immediately issue a certificate of filing when an application is accepted for filing, as specified. Upon the filing of an application or a resolution pursuant to the act, but prior to the issuance of a certificate of filing, current law requires the executive officer to give notice of the filing to the assessor and auditor of each county within which the territory subject to the jurisdiction change is located, as specified. Current law prohibits the executive officer from issuing a certificate pursuant to the provisions described above until resolutions are adopted by specified counties and cities in which they agree to accept the exchange of property tax revenues. Current law authorizes a county and any local agency within the county to develop and adopt a master property tax transfer agreement, as specified. This bill would, if applicable, prohibit the executive officer from accepting for filing an application for change or organization or reorganization and issuing a certificate of filing pursuant to the provisions described above, and would provide that an application is not deemed accepted for filing pursuant to the provisions described above, if an agreement for the exchange of property tax revenues has not been adopted pursuant to the provisions described above.

#### **Attachments:**

AB 1753 CALAFCO Letter of Support, 03-28-23

#### Position: Support

#### Subject: CKH General Procedures

**CALAFCO Comments:** This is CALAFCO's Omnibus bill. It seeks to add two new provisions to CKH. The first, would add section (d)(1) to Government Code Section 56658 and would note that R&T Section 99(d)(b)(6) requires an property tax agreement for an application to be considered complete. The second adds language to GC Sec. 56882 allowing transmission of commission determination by email, providing that the executive officer confirms receipt through an electronic read receipt of other means.

CALAFCO's letter of support can be found in the attachments.

# <u>SB 360</u> (<u>Blakespear</u> D) California Coastal Commission: member voting.

Current Text: Chaptered: 7/21/2023 html pdf

#### Introduced: 2/8/2023

Last Amended: 6/14/2023

**Status:** 7/21/2023-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State. Chapter 108, Statutes of 2023.

|   | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Ennolled | Vataad | Chantered |
|---|------|--------|--------|-------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
| Į |      | 1st H  | ouse   |       |      | 2nd F  | louse  |       | Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |

#### Summary:

The California Coastal Act of 1976 establishes the California Coastal Commission and prescribes the membership and duties of the commission. The act provides that its provisions do not preclude or prevent any member or employee of the commission who is also an employee of another public agency, a county supervisor or city councilperson, or a member of specified associations or organizations, and who has in that designated capacity voted or acted upon a particular matter, from voting or otherwise acting upon that matter as a member or employee of the commission. This bill would apply the latter provision to a member of a joint powers authority and a member of a local agency formation commission. Attachments: SB 360 Fact Sheet SB 360 CALAFCO Letter of Support

Position: Support

Subject: Other

**CALAFCO Comments:** PRC 30318 currently holds a provision that allows members or employees of certain entities to sit on the California Coastal Commission. This bill would add members or employees of JPAs and LAFCos into that list.

3/24/2023: No change since introduction.

3/31/2023: Position changed to support. The Fact Sheet and a copy of CALAFCO's Support letter can be found in the attachments.

After two minor amendments, the bill was passed on 7/6/2023, Enrolled and presented to the Governor for signature at 11 a.m. on 07/11/2023.

# **<u>SB 411</u>** (Portantino D) Open meetings: teleconferences: neighborhood councils.

Current Text: Chaptered: 10/9/2023 html pdf

Introduced: 2/9/2023

Last Amended: 8/14/2023

**Status:** 10/8/2023-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State. Chapter 605, Statutes of 2023.

|   | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk      | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Ennollad | Vataad | Chaptered |
|---|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-----------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
| I | 1st House |        |        |       | 2nd House |        |        |       | Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
| 2 | <b>.</b>  |        |        |       |           |        |        |       |       |          |        |           |

# Summary:

Would, until January 1, 2026, authorize an eligible legislative body to use alternate teleconferencing provisions related to notice, agenda, and public participation, as prescribed, if the city council has adopted an authorizing resolution and 2/3 of an eligible legislative body votes to use the alternate teleconferencing provisions. The bill would define "eligible legislative body" for this purpose to mean a neighborhood council that is an advisory body with the purpose to promote more citizen participation in government and make government more responsive to local needs that is established pursuant to the charter of a city with a population of more than 3,000,000 people that is subject to the act. The bill would require an eligible legislative body authorized under the bill to provide publicly accessible physical locations for public participation, as prescribed. The bill would also require that at least a quorum of the members of the neighborhood council is established. The bill would require that, at least once per year, at least a quorum of the members of the eligible legislative body participate in person from a singular physical location that is open to the public and within the boundaries of the eligible legislative body.

# Position: Watch

#### Subject: Brown Act

**CALAFCO Comments:** This bill would permanently add back provisions to Section 54953.4 of the Brown Act that had been temporarily enacted during the COVID-19 pandemic. The amendment would allow a legislative body to use teleconferencing provisions, and would define the proper procedure for conducting such a meeting, would require the legislative body to take no further action in the event of a broadcasting disruption within the local agency's control until the broadcast can be resumed, would require time public comment periods to remain open until the public comment time has elapsed, and would not only prevent requiring comments in advance but would also require that the public be afforded the chance to comment in real time.

4/24/2023: The bill was amended to make it specific to neighborhood councils and is no longer a concern for CALAFCO. However, we continue to monitor in case of changes.

8/14/2023: Amended to require that a quorum of the members of the eligible legislative body must meet in person at a location open to the public at least once per year.

# <u>SB 537</u> (Becker D) Open meetings: multijurisdictional, cross-county agencies: teleconferences.

Current Text: Amended: 9/5/2023 html pdf Introduced: 2/14/2023

Last Amended: 9/5/2023

Status: 9/14/2023-Ordered to inactive file on request of Assembly Member Bryan.

| Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chantered |
|------|--------|--------|-------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
|      | 1st ⊦  | louse  |       |      | 2nd I  | House  |       | Conc. | Enrolled | vetoed | Chaptered |

# Summary:

Current law, until January 1, 2024, authorizes the legislative body of a local agency to use

alternate teleconferencing provisions during a proclaimed state of emergency or in other situations related to public health that exempt a legislative body from the general requirements (emergency provisions) and impose different requirements for notice, agenda, and public participation, as prescribed. The emergency provisions specify that they do not require a legislative body to provide a physical location from which the public may attend or comment. Current law, until January 1, 2026, authorizes the legislative body of a local agency to use alternative teleconferencing in certain circumstances related to the particular member if at least a quorum of its members participate from a singular physical location that is open to the public and situated within the agency's jurisdiction and other requirements are met, including restrictions on remote participation by a member of the legislative body. These circumstances include if a member shows "just cause," including for a childcare or caregiving need of a relative that requires the member to participate remotely. This bill would expand the circumstances of "just cause" to apply to the situation in which an immunocompromised child, parent, grandparent, or other specified relative requires the member to participate remotely. The bill would authorize the legislative body of a multijurisdictional, cross-county agency, as specified, to use alternate teleconferencing provisions if the eligible legislative body has adopted an authorizing resolution, as specified. The bill would also require the legislative body to provide a record of attendance of the members of the legislative body, the number of community members in attendance in the teleconference meeting, and the number of public comments on its internet website within 10 days after a teleconference meeting, as specified. The bill would require at least a quorum of members of the legislative body to participate from one or more physical locations that are open to the public and within the boundaries of the territory over which the local agency exercises jurisdiction.

# **Position:** Watch **Subject:** Brown Act

**CALAFCO Comments:** This is a spotholder bill that states an intent to expand local government's access to hold public meetings through teleconferencing and remote access.

3/22/2023: was amended and fleshed out to add teleconferencing provisions to allow legislative bodies of multijurisdictional agencies to meet remotely. Multijurisdictional agencies are defined as boards, commissions, or advisory bodies of a multijurisdictional, cross county agency, which is composed of appointed representatives from more than one county, city, city and county, special district, or a joint powers entity.

The bill is sponsored bu Peninsula Clean Energy, a community choice aggregator with a board comprised of local elected officials from the County of San Mateo and its 20 cities, as well as the City of Los Banos.

4/24/2023: The bill was amended to further clarify definitions and the requirements needed for members of an eligible legislative body to meet remotely.

The bill passed Senate Judiciary on 5/2/23, and had its third reading in the Senate on 5/30/2023. 7/12/23: The bill passed the Assembly Local Government Committee.

Amended on August 14, 2023, to require eligible legislative bodies that receive compensation to participate from a physical location that is open to the public.

9/14/2023, the bill was moved into the inactive file.

# **<u>SB 768</u>** (<u>Caballero</u> D) California Environmental Quality Act: vehicle miles traveled: statement of overriding consideration.

Current Text: Amended: 3/22/2023 html pdf Introduced: 2/17/2023 Last Amended: 3/22/2023 Status: 4/28/2023-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was E.Q. on 3/29/2023)(May be acted upon Jan 2024)

| Desk | 2 year | Fiscal | Floor | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|------|--------|--------|-------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
|      | 1st ⊦  | louse  |       |      | 2nd H  | louse  |       | Conc. | Enrolled | vetoed | Chaptered |
| Summ | 251/1  |        |       |      |        |        |       |       |          |        |           |

Summary:

The California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) requires a lead agency to prepare a mitigated negative declaration for a project that may have a significant effect on the environment if revisions in the project would avoid or mitigate that effect and there is no substantial evidence that the project, as revised, would have a significant effect on the environment. CEQA prohibits a public agency from approving or carrying out a project for which a certified EIR has identified one or more significant effects on the environment that would occur if the project is approved or carried out unless the public agency finds either (1) changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project that mitigate or avoid the significant effects on the environment, (2) those changes or alterations are within the jurisdiction of another public agency and have

been, or can and should be, adopted by the other agency, or (3) specific economic, legal, social, technological, or other considerations make infeasible the mitigation measures or alternatives identified in the EIR and the public agency finds that those specific considerations outweigh the significant effects on the environment, commonly known as a statement of overriding consideration. This bill would provide that a public agency, in approving or carrying out a housing development project, as defined, a commercial project, or an industrial project, is not required to issue a statement of overriding consideration for significant effects on the environment identified by a project's vehicle miles traveled or similar metrics if the lead agency has imposed all feasible mitigation measures on the project and it finds no feasible alternatives to the project.

# Position: Neutral

#### Subject: CEQA

**CALAFCO Comments:** Introduced as a spotholder bill that noted an intent to enact subsequent legislation that would create a new transportation impact analysis for rural areas for purposes of the California Environmental Quality Act.

3/22/2023: The bill was amended and would add language into the Public Resource Code to provide that a public agency, in approving or carrying out certain types of projects, is not required to issue a statement of overriding consideration for significant effects on the environment identified by a project's vehicle miles traveled if the lead agency has imposed all feasible mitigation measures on the project and it finds no feasible alternatives to the project.

Failed to meet deadlines and now a 2 year bill that cannot be acted upon until January, 2024.

## <u>SB 865</u> (Laird D) Municipal water districts: automatic exclusion of cities.

Current Text: Introduced: 2/17/2023 html pdf

#### Introduced: 2/17/2023

**Status:** 4/28/2023-Failed Deadline pursuant to Rule 61(a)(2). (Last location was GOV. & F. on 3/1/2023)(May be acted upon Jan 2024)

| 1st House 2nd House Conc. Enrolled Vetoed Chaptered | I | Desk | 2 year | Fiscal | Floor | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Enrolled | Vatand | Chaptered |
|-----------------------------------------------------|---|------|--------|--------|-------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
|                                                     | [ |      | 1st H  | louse  |       |      | 2nd H  | louse  |       | Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |

# Summary:

Current law authorizes a governing body of a municipal water district to adopt an ordinance excluding any territory annexed to a metropolitan water district organized under the Metropolitan Water District Act, if the territory is annexed prior to the effective date of the formation of the municipal water district. Current law requires the Secretary of State to issue a certificate reciting the passage of the ordinance and the exclusion of the area from the municipal water district within 10 days of receiving a certified copy of the ordinance. This bill would extend the number of days the Secretary of State has to issue a certificate to 14 days.

#### Position: Neutral

# Subject: Annexation Proceedings

**CALAFCO Comments:** Existing law authorizes a governing body of a municipal water district may adopt an ordinance excluding any territory annexed to a metropolitan water district organized under the Metropolitan Water District Act, providing that the territory is annexed prior to the effective date of the formation of the municipal water district. If that happens, the Secretary of State must, within 10 days of receiving a certified copy, issue a certificate reciting the passage of the ordinance that excludes the area from the municipal water district. This bill would extend the Secretary of State's window to issue that certificate from 10 to 14 days.

Failed to meet deadlines and now a 2 year bill that cannot be acted upon until January, 2024.

## **<u>SB 878</u>** (Committee on Governance and Finance) Validations.

Current Text: Chaptered: 6/29/2023 html pdf

# Introduced: 2/17/2023

**Status:** 6/29/2023-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State. Chapter 30, Statutes of 2023.

|   | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---|------|--------|--------|-------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
| I |      | 1st H  | ouse   |       |      | 2nd H  | louse  |       | Conc. | Enrolled | veloeu | Chaptered |

## Summary:

Would enact the First Validating Act of 2023, which would validate the organization, boundaries, acts, proceedings, and bonds of the state and counties, cities, and specified districts, agencies, and entities. This bill contains other related provisions. **Attachments:** 

Annual Validations Joint Letter of Support

**Position:** Support **Subject:** LAFCo Administration **CALAFCO Comments:** This is the first of three annual validating acts. The joint letter of support is in the attachments section. Passed and approved by the Governor on 6/29/2023.

# <u>SB 879</u> (Committee on Governance and Finance) Validations.

Current Text: Chaptered: 6/29/2023 html pdf Introduced: 2/17/2023

Status: 6/29/2023-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State. Chapter 31, Statutes of 2023.

| Desk     | Policy    | Fiscal | Floor | Desk | Policy | Fiscal | Floor | Conf. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|----------|-----------|--------|-------|------|--------|--------|-------|-------|----------|--------|-----------|
|          | 1st House |        |       |      | 2nd H  | louse  |       | Conc. | Linoned  | vetoeu | chaptered |
| <u> </u> |           |        |       |      |        |        |       |       |          |        |           |

# Summary:

Would enact the Second Validating Act of 2023, which would validate the organization, boundaries, acts, proceedings, and bonds of the state and counties, cities, and specified districts, agencies, and entities. This bill contains other related provisions. **Attachments:** 

Annual Validations Joint Letter of Support

Position: Support

**Subject:** LAFCo Administration **CALAFCO Comments:** This is one of three annual validating acts. The joint letter of support is in the attachments section. Passed and approved by the Governor on 6/29/2023.

# **<u>SB 880</u>** (Committee on Governance and Finance) Validations.

Current Text: Chaptered: 6/29/2023 html pdf

# Introduced: 2/17/2023

**Status:** 6/29/2023-Approved by the Governor. Chaptered by Secretary of State. Chapter 32, Statutes of 2023.

|   | Desk | Policy    | Fiscal | Floor | Desk | Policy<br>2nd F | Fiscal | Floor | Conf.<br>Conc. | Enrolled | Vetoed | Chaptered |
|---|------|-----------|--------|-------|------|-----------------|--------|-------|----------------|----------|--------|-----------|
| ļ |      | 1st House |        |       |      | 2nd House       |        |       |                |          |        |           |

#### Summary:

Would enact the Third Validating Act of 2023, which would validate the organization, boundaries, acts, proceedings, and bonds of the state and counties, cities, and specified districts, agencies, and entities.

# Attachments:

Annual Validations Joint Letter of Support

Position: Support

Subject: LAFCo Administration

**CALAFCO Comments:** This is one of three annual validating acts. The joint letter of support is in the attachments section.

Passed and approved by the Governor on 6/29/2023.

Total Measures: 21 Total Tracking Forms: 21

11/27/2023 8:05:43 AM