

EL DORADO LAFCO

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

AGENDA OF JANUARY 30, 2008

REGULAR MEETING

TO: Ted Long, Chairman, and
Members of the El Dorado County Local Agency Formation
Commission

FROM: José C. Henríquez, Executive Officer

AGENDA ITEM #6: PUBLIC HEARING TO CONSIDER THE DRAFT MUNICIPAL
SERVICES REVIEW FOR GENERAL GOVERNMENT
SERVICES I

RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommends that the Commission:

1. Open the Public Hearing to receive comments on the Draft General Government Services I Municipal Services Review; and
2. Continue the Public Hearing until the February meeting to allow sufficient time and opportunity for the governing boards of the agencies and the public to review and comment on the Municipal Services Review. Staff will return this item in February for final action and adoption.

REASON FOR RECOMMENDED ACTION

The Municipal Services Review (MSR) is required by State law to serve as a guidance document for any boundary changes to the 21 agencies that provide drainage, parks and recreation, lighting and landscaping, law enforcement, mosquito abatement, solid waste collection and weed abatement services in El Dorado County. An "administrative draft" of this document was distributed to the boards of directors, staff and/or the administrators of the pertinent agencies for their review and comments. Any comments received from the directors and their staffs were incorporated into the document before the Commission meeting.

BACKGROUND

This MSR was prepared for all of the agencies that provide seven different services in El Dorado County. This study analyzes the agencies' ability to provide existing and future residents with drainage, parks and recreation, lighting and landscaping, law enforcement, mosquito abatement, solid waste collection and weed abatement services

within their respective boundaries. Not all agencies reviewed in the MSR provided all of these services:

	Drainage	Parks and Recreation	Lighting and Landscaping	Law Enforcement	Mosquito	Solid Waste	Weed Abatement
Arroyo Vista CSD		x					
Cameron Estates CSD		x		x			
Cameron Park CSD		x	x				x
City of Placerville	x	x	x	x			
City of South Lake Tahoe		x		x			
Cosumnes River CSD		x					
County Service Area No. 2	x						
County Service Area No. 3	x	x			x		
County Service Area No. 5	x						
County Service Area No. 9		x	x				
El Dorado Hills CSD		x	x	x	x	x	
El Dorado Irrigation District		x					
Fallen Leaf Lake CSD		x					
Georgetown Divide PUD	x	x					
Georgetown Divide Rec. D		x	x				
Greenstone Country CSD	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Holiday Lake CSD		x					
Kirkwood Meadows PUD	x	x	x	x	x	x	
Lakeview CSD	x		x	x			
Showcase Ranches CSD		x					
Springfield Meadows CSD		x	x				
South Tahoe PUD		x	x				
Tahoe City PUD	x	x	x			x	
Tahoe Paradise Resort Improv Dist		x					

The MSR was prepared to meet the requirements and standards of the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000 (CKH). The Service Review was prepared using the Service Review Guidelines prepared by the Governor’s Office of Planning and Research.

The fundamental role of the Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO) is to implement the CKH Act (found in Government Code §56000, et seq.), consistent with local conditions and circumstances. LAFCO’s decisions are guided by the CKH Act. This MSR will be used to determine the spheres of influence for all of the agencies reviewed in this MSR.

This MSR is the last report for the inaugural cycle of municipal service reviews. It contains the last pieces of background information to assist the Commission to determine the spheres of influence for the remaining 21 agencies. In addition, the MSR identified the districts that are empowered to provide the services listed above, but are currently not providing (the first five agencies will be discussed later in the agenda):

- Arroyo Vista CSD
- Cameron Estates CSD
- County Service Area #2
- Lakeview CSD
- South Tahoe PUD (assessment purposes only)
- Georgetown Divide PUD

Of the services reviewed in this MSR, the most extensive from a monetary, infrastructure and logistical standpoint are park and recreation services. For the larger districts, these are services that can generate sufficient revenue to offset the cost to provide them. Lighting and landscape services were found to be assessment mechanisms, not an active service that required staff and infrastructure. After these two services, solid waste management ended up being the third largest service provided but that too was from an assessment and contract oversight standpoint as the agencies outsourced that service to an outside contractor. All remaining services were either not provided or provided by a few agencies.

At this time, staff recommends opening the public hearing and continuing it until the February 27, 2008 meeting. Staff also recommends that the Commission open a public comment period to last until that time to allow the public and other agencies an opportunity to review and submit comments on the report.

Daniel Hamilton from PMC will present some of the report's findings in more detail during the meeting.

OTHER AGENCY INVOLVEMENT

A complete list of references is included in the back of the MSR. LAFCO and PMC staff would like to thank the various governing boards and their staff for their assistance as well as the County Auditor's Office and the County Assessor's Office.

Attachment A: General Government Services I MSR (on CD)