

EL DORADO LAFCO
LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

CEQA PROCEDURES

Prepared on October 5, 2000
by Barbara Graichen, MPA, Graichen Consulting
5010 Sorento Road, Sacramento, California 95835
Phone - 916-991-2177; FAX - 916-991-0906; E-Mail - nnatomas@aol.com

Updated on August 6, 2008

COMMISSIONERS

City Members

Carl Hagen (City of Placerville)
Ted Long (City of South Lake Tahoe)

County Members

Ron Briggs
James R. Sweeney

Public Member

Francesca Loftis

Special Districts Members

Ken Humphreys (Rescue FPD)
Harry Norris (EID)

ALTERNATE COMMISSIONERS

Mark Acuna, City
Helen Baumann, County
Norm Rowett, Public
Michael D. Cooper, Special Districts

Staff

José C. Henríquez, Executive Officer
Erica Sanchez, Policy Analyst
Denise Tebaldi, Interim Commission Clerk
Andrew Morris, Counsel

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PROCEDURES FOR PREPARATION AND PROCESSING OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS

PROCEDURES FOR PREPARATION AND PROCESSING OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS	6
A. General Provisions	6
1. Purpose	6
2. Objective	6
3. Fees	6
4. Definitions	6
5. Appeals	8
6. Numbering and Maintaining Documents	8
7. Time Limits	8
8. Lead Agency Role	9
9. Responsible Agency Role	9
10. Environmental Coordinator’s Responsibilities	9
11. Severability of Provisions	10
B. Preparation and Processing of Environmental Reviews	10
1. Applications of Article	10
2. Required Hearings	10
3. Submitting the Application	10
4. Making Initial Determinations	11
5. Initial Study	11
a. Conduct of the Initial Study	11
b. Consultations	11
c. Environmental Determination	11
d. Appeal Process	12
6. Negative Declaration (ND) and Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) Process	12
a. Determining Whether An ND or MND is Required	12
b. Processing the Negative Declaration or MND	12
c. Rejecting the Negative Declaration or MND	13
7. Draft Environmental Impact Report Process	13
a. Submission of Information	13
b. Notice of Preparation	14
c. Consultations	14
d. Project Scoping	14
e. Preparation of the Draft EIR	14
f. Use of a Consultant	14
g. Notice of Completion	15
h. Public Review of the Draft EIR	15
i. Public Hearing	15
8. Final Environmental Impact Report Process	15
a. Submission of Information	15
b. FEIR Preparation	15
c. Distribution	16
d. Public Hearing	16
e. Additional Evidence	16

- f. Findings 16
 - g. Continuance of Hearings..... 17
 - h. Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program 17
 - I. Notice of Determination..... 17
 - C. Assumption of Lead Agency Role upon Request..... 17
 - D. Categorical and Statutory Exemptions 17
 - E. Incorporation of State CEQA Guidelines..... 19
 - F. Miscellaneous Projects..... 19
 - G. Review by State Agencies 20
 - 1. State Clearinghouse Review 20
 - 2. State Agency Review of Projects of Statewide, Regional or
Areawide Significance 20
 - 3. State Fish and Game Department Review..... 20
 - H. Public Notices and Public Review Periods 21
 - 1. Public Notice 21
 - a. Negative Declaration 21
 - b. Draft EIR 21
 - c. Final EIR 21
 - 2. Public Review..... 21
 - a. Negative Declaration and MND..... 21
 - b. Environmental Impact Report..... 22
 - I. Mitigation Monitoring Programs (MMP) 22
 - 1. Timing 22
 - 2. Content 22

LIST OF EXHIBITS

Exhibit A - Initial Study Checklist 23
Exhibit B - CEQA Document Distribution Record 33
Exhibit C - Notice of Preparation 34
Exhibit D - Negative Declaration..... 35
Exhibit E - Notice of Exemption 36
Exhibit F - Certificate of Completion 37
Exhibit G - Notice of Determination 38
Exhibit H - Public Notice Templates 39
Exhibit I - CEQA Timelines Chart 40
Exhibit J - Mitigation Verification Form 43

PROCEDURES FOR PREPARATION AND PROCESSING OF ENVIRONMENTAL DOCUMENTS

The El Dorado LAFCO CEQA Procedures were adopted on October 5, 2000 pursuant to Resolution No: L-00-10. These CEQA Procedures shall be used to comply with, and implement, Sections 20000 et sequitur of the California Government Code.

A. General Provisions.

1. **Purpose.** The purpose of the procedures contained herein is to implement the requirements of the California Environmental Quality Act (CEQA) pursuant to §21082 of the California Public Resources Code and to supplement the State CEQA Guidelines adopted by the Secretary for Resources of the State of California.
2. **Objective.** LAFCO intends through the appropriate use of these Procedures to assist with the provision and maintenance of a high quality environment in El Dorado County. Consistent with §21002 of CEQA, it shall be the policy of El Dorado LAFCO that no projects should be approved as proposed if there are feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures available which would substantially lessen the significant environmental effects of such projects.

The procedures required by these guidelines are intended to assist in systematically identifying both the significant effects of proposed projects and the feasible alternatives or feasible mitigation measures which will avoid or substantially lessen such significant effects. In the event specific overriding economic, legal, social, technological or other considerations of the project, such as the provision of high quality services needed to address public health concerns, outweigh the significant effects on the environment or other conditions make infeasible such project alternatives or such mitigation measures, individual projects may be approved in spite of one or more significant effects thereof. (§21002)

3. **Fees.** Pursuant to LAFCO policies 1.51 through 1.55, and Appendix 6.2 of LAFCO's Policies and Guidelines, reasonable fees will be collected to cover 100% of the cost of services provided (§21089). A fee equal to the actual cost of reproduction of an environmental document shall be charged to persons who desire such a copy (§21089, §15045 (b)). This fee shall not be charged to public agencies having jurisdiction by law with respect to the project or to individuals or organizations possessing special expertise and from whom the Environmental Coordinator desires input into an environmental analysis.
4. **Definitions.** The following terms when used in these Procedures have specific technical meanings as follows:

Approving Body. Any entity having discretionary authority over projects as defined in CEQA.

CEQA. California Environmental Quality Act, California Public Resources Code Sections 21000 et seq.

Complete Application. An application that includes all information necessary to complete required CEQA processes and the required CEQA review.

Commission. The El Dorado Local Agency Formation Commission.

County. County of El Dorado.

De minimis. Consistent with state law pertaining to California Department of Fish and Game fees, a finding that a project does not significantly impact fish and wildlife resources. [Please note that LAFCO's policies contain another definition for the term "de minimis" which is unrelated to its CEQA usage.]

Distribution Record. A certificate issued by the Environmental Coordinator at the time that the project is transmitted that indicates what, if any, environmental document has been or will be prepared for that project (see Exhibit B).

Environmental Coordinator. Pursuant to LAFCO policy, the role filled by the Executive Officer when processing EIRs and other documents as provided herein.

Environmental Document. Any document prepared to satisfy the requirements of CEQA. Documents include Exemptions, Initial Studies, Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, Notices of Exemption, Determination, Preparation and Completion, Environmental Impact Reports, and Findings of Fact and Statements of Overriding Considerations.

Ministerial Project. A project which is processed using fixed standards or objective measurements without any subjective or personal judgment. The following actions have been determined to be ministerial:

1. Filings with the State Board of Equalization;
2. Evaluating a Petition;
3. Completion of property or boundary surveys and related analysis;
4. Filing a Certificate of Sufficiency;
5. Filing a Certificate of Completion;
6. Determining whether a site is inhabited or uninhabited;
7. Personnel actions.

State CEQA Guidelines. The Guidelines published by the Secretary for Resources, State of California, pursuant to Public Resources Code Section 21083 and 21087, and printed in Chapter 3 of Division 6 Title 14, of the California Administrative Code beginning with Section 15000.

Secretary for Resources. Secretary for the Resources Agency, State of California
Other definitions not herein described are incorporated by reference from the State CEQA Guidelines. (§15350-§15387)

5. **Appeals.** Any person dissatisfied with any decision of the Environmental Coordinator relating to provisions of these regulations may appeal such decision to the Commission. Such appeal shall be made in writing within ten (10) days of the announcement of the decision, and shall include reasons that justify the appeal. The hearing on the appeal will be scheduled no later than the next regular LAFCO meeting which can be properly noticed following receipt of the appeal. The burden to show why the decision should not be affirmed shall be upon the appellant.
6. **Numbering and Maintaining Documents.** The Environmental Coordinator shall number Negative Declarations, Mitigated Negative Declarations, EIRs, and Exemptions using the LAFCO control number that has been assigned to the project. A second number may be assigned by the State Clearinghouse for documents that it processes. The Environmental Coordinator shall establish and maintain a file of actions taken pursuant to CEQA.
7. **Time Limits.** Time limits for processing environmental documents shall be as follows:
 - Within 30 calendar days after an application is filed with LAFCO, the Environmental Coordinator shall determine in writing whether such application is complete or incomplete and shall immediately transmit such determination to the applicant for the project. If a written determination is not made within 30 days, the application shall be deemed complete. In the event the application is determined not to be complete, the Environmental Coordinator shall specify those parts of the application that are incomplete and shall indicate the manner in which they can be made complete.
 - Within 30 days after accepting an application as complete, the Environmental Coordinator shall make an initial determination of whether the project will need an EIR or a Negative Declaration. The 30 day period may be extended 15 days upon the consent of the Environmental Coordinator and the project applicant.
 - LAFCO shall complete and certify an EIR in not more than one year, or complete and adopt a Negative Declaration or Mitigated Negative Declaration in not more than 180 days from the date the application is deemed complete.
 - Any unreasonable delay by an applicant in meeting requests by LAFCO necessary for the preparation of a Negative Declaration, or Mitigated Negative Declaration or an EIR shall suspend the running of the time periods described above.

- A few statutes require agencies to make decisions on permits within time limits that are so short that adequate review of the project under CEQA would be difficult or impossible. To enable compliance with all statutes, the Executive Officer shall not issue a Certificate of Filing for a project until required CEQA documentation is complete.
- 8. Lead Agency Role.** The Lead Agency is the entity which has the principal responsibility for approving or carrying out a project (§21067). When acting as Lead Agency, LAFCO shall ensure that all required elements of the CEQA process are completed and conducted consistent with the requirements of CEQA.
- 9. Responsible Agency Role.** The Responsible Agency is a public entity, other than the Lead Agency, which has responsibility for carrying out or approving a project (§21069). When a project is proposed for which El Dorado LAFCO will act as the Responsible Agency, the Environmental Coordinator shall ensure that the Lead Agency receives any information needed to prepare an environmental document that provides full disclosure of LAFCO issues and permits informed decision making on the part of the Commission. Comments shall be provided consistent with the requirements and timelines contained in §21080.3, §21080.4 and §15096. Comments provided and mitigation measures or project alternatives that are proposed shall focus upon issues pertinent to LAFCO's legislated responsibilities and discretionary authority over the project.
- 10. Environmental Coordinator's Responsibilities.** The Environmental Coordinator shall be responsible for the following functions in administering CEQA:
- Determining whether a project is exempt;
 - Supervising the work of environmental consultants;
 - Conducting Initial Studies;
 - Preparing Negative Declarations, or Mitigated Negative Declaration and EIRs;
 - Determining that a Negative Declaration is complete;
 - Preparing responses to public comments as to the adequacy and/or completeness of environmental documents prepared by LAFCO;
 - Filing Notices;
 - Providing information to hearing bodies;
 - Acting as the hearing officer for the purpose of receiving public comments on Draft EIRs when requested by the Commission;

- Exercising the authority to enter into agreements with government entities that provide services within El Dorado County for the purpose of providing environmental review for projects initiated by those governmental agencies;
- Requesting shortened public review periods, when appropriate, from the State Clearinghouse; and
- Performing other functions reasonably required to administer the State CEQA Guidelines.

11. Severability of Provisions. If any section, subsection, sentence, clause or phrase of these procedures is, for any reason, held to be invalid or unconstitutional, such holding shall not affect the validity or constitutionality of the remaining portions of this document. It is expressly declared that these provisions would have been prepared and adopted irrespective of the fact that any one or more sections, subsections, sentences, clauses or phrases could be declared invalid or unconstitutional.

B. Preparation and Processing of Environmental Reviews.

- 1. Applications of Article.** The procedures in this article shall govern the preparation and processing of environmental documents for the following projects:
 - Changes of organization or reorganizations initiated by LAFCO pursuant to §56375 of the Cortese-Knox-Hertzberg Act;
 - Spheres of Influence Plans and Amendments;
 - Limited Spheres of Influence;
 - Incorporations;
 - Any changes of organization or reorganizations including annexations and detachments from special districts;
 - Consolidations, Detachments, Dissolutions and District Formations;
 - City annexations; and
 - All other discretionary projects as defined in §21065 and §15378 of CEQA and the CEQA Guidelines.
- 2. Required Hearings.** Public hearings shall be held on all Draft EIRs, Negative Declarations and Mitigated Negative Declarations.
- 3. Submitting the Application.** Applications shall be submitted to LAFCO and initially processed as follows:

- To facilitate and streamline processing, the applicant shall meet with the Executive Officer to discuss information which needs to be submitted with the applications;
 - The application will be submitted directly to LAFCO;
 - The Executive Officer shall assign a LAFCO number to the project; and
 - Pursuant to these procedures and §15060 of the CEQA Guidelines, the Environmental Coordinator shall determine whether the application is complete. Such determination shall be made within thirty calendar days of application receipt. Accepting an application as complete does not prohibit LAFCO from requesting additional information needed to complete an adequate environmental review as the need arises.
- 4. Making Initial Determinations.** Following a determination that the application is complete, the Environmental Coordinator shall determine whether the project qualifies for an exemption from preparation of additional environmental documents pursuant to Section 15061 of the CEQA Guidelines. If an Exemption is determined appropriate, the Environmental Coordinator, shall prepare a Notice of Exemption (NOE) as described in §15062 of the CEQA Guidelines. The NOE shall be filed with the County Clerk. The Notice of Exemption shall be posted at the County Clerk's Office within 24 hours of receipt and for at least thirty calendar days following receipt.
- 5. Initial Study.** The following steps shall be followed when preparing an Initial Study:
- a) Conduct of the Initial Study.** If the Environmental Coordinator determines that a project is not exempt, an Initial Study, including completion of an Initial Study Checklist (see Exhibit A), shall be conducted pursuant to Section 15063 of the State CEQA Guidelines. The project proponent shall provide requested environmental information needed to complete the Initial Study.
 - b) Consultations.** If a project application is received which will be subject to approval by one or more Responsible Agencies, or may affect public lands under the jurisdiction of trustee or other public agencies, the Environmental Coordinator shall consult with such agency or agencies.
 - c) Environmental Determination.** Based on the Initial Study and any consultations, the Environmental Coordinator shall determine which type of environmental document to prepare, and shall provide notice of that decision to the project applicant. Applicants of privately initiated projects will be notified by certified mail, return receipt requested, if an Environmental Impact Report is required.

d) Appeal Process. In the event that the applicant wishes to appeal a decision requiring the preparation of an EIR made pursuant to this Article, the appeal shall be made within ten days of receiving notice of the requirement for an EIR. The appeal shall be in writing to the El Dorado LAFCO and shall include a statement of justification for the appeal. It shall be the responsibility of the appellant to establish reasons why the decision should not be upheld. In acting upon the appeal, the LAFCO shall base its decision upon facts relating to the appropriateness of the environmental determination, and not upon the merits or lack of merits of the project. The applicant shall pay any fees applicable to the public hearing on the appeal.

6. Negative Declaration (ND) and Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND) Process. The following steps shall be followed when preparing and processing an ND or Mitigated ND:

a) Determining Whether An ND or MND is Required. An ND or MND shall be prepared by the Environmental Coordinator for a project when the Initial Study shows that:

- There is no substantial evidence that the project may have a significant effect;
- The Initial Study identified potentially significant effects but changes in the project proposal were made which eliminated the effects;
- Changes to the project have been proposed for adoption by LAFCO which eliminate adverse effects, or render them less than significant; or
- There is no substantial evidence that the project as revised may have significant effects on the environment.

b) Processing the Negative Declaration or MND. The following processing steps and format will be used:

- The ND or MND shall conform substantially to Exhibit D;
- The Initial Study shall be attached to the ND or MND;
- The Environmental Coordinator shall consult with and seek to obtain comments from each Responsible Agency and Trustee Agency. The Environmental Coordinator may also consult with persons having special expertise on the impacts of the project;
- The ND or MND shall be made available to the public and others who have expressed an interest in the project not less than twenty (20) days before the project is heard by LAFCO;

- When a proposed ND or MND and Initial Study are submitted to the State Clearinghouse for review by state agencies, the public review period shall not be less than 30 days, unless a shorter period is approved by the State Clearinghouse;
 - If a public agency comments upon the ND or MND, that agency will be provided with notice of public hearings on the project. Such notice shall satisfy the requirements of Section 21092 of CEQA;
 - Prior to approval of the project, the hearing body shall find that the ND or MND is adequate and complete and the project will not have a significant effect on the environment. In the case of an MND or other projects which have been modified to reduce or eliminate one or more significant effects, the Environmental Coordinator shall assure that such modification is a part of the project record and is included as a condition or other requirement of approval;
 - If LAFCO decides not to adopt mitigation measures or revisions that remediate potential adverse environmental impacts to a less than significant level, and desires to consider approving the project, the Environmental Coordinator will be directed to proceed with preparation of an EIR;
 - If mitigation measures are adopted by the hearing body for the purpose of reducing the environmental impacts of a project, a mitigation and monitoring program shall also be adopted pursuant to §Section 21081.6 of CEQA;
 - If the hearing body determines to carry out or approve the project, the Environmental Coordinator shall prepare a Notice of Determination (NOD) substantially in the form prescribed in Exhibit G. The NOD shall be filed with the County Recorder-Clerk. The Recorder-Clerk shall post the NOD within 24 hours of receipt and keep it posted for 30 days. If the project requires discretionary approval of a state agency, the NOD shall also be filed with the State's Office of Planning and Research;
- c) Rejecting the Negative Declaration or MND.** If at any time during the processing of the project application, LAFCO determines that an EIR should be prepared for a project for which an ND or MND has previously been prepared or filed, the Environmental Coordinator shall initiate preparation of a Draft EIR. LAFCO shall continue the hearing on the project to a future date whereupon the Draft EIR shall be made available.

7. Draft Environmental Impact Report Process.

- a) Submission of Information.** If the Initial Study indicates that an EIR is required, the applicant may be asked to submit to the Environmental

Coordinator any information, or other materials, required to prepare the Draft EIR. Preparation of the Draft EIR shall not be initiated until the Environmental Coordinator has determined that the required information has been received and is complete.

- b) Notice of Preparation.** After determining that an EIR is required, the Environmental Coordinator shall send a Notice of Preparation stating that an EIR will be prepared pursuant to Section 15082 of CEQA Guidelines to each known Responsible Agency, Trustee Agency and the State Clearinghouse if applicable. The notice shall also be sent to every federal agency involved in approving or funding the project.

The Notice of Preparation shall provide the Responsible Agencies with sufficient information describing the project and environmental effects to enable the Responsible Agencies to make meaningful responses. The information shall include:

- A description of the project;
- The location of the project indicated on an attached map;
- The probable environmental effects of the project; and
- A copy of the Initial Study when appropriate.

- c) Consultations.** LAFCO shall consult with affected agencies, technical experts, or interested persons and groups in order to maximize the quality of, and disclosures contained in, the environmental document.

- d) Project Scoping.** When requested by a Responsible Agency, Trustee Agency or project applicant, the Environmental Coordinator shall convene a meeting with one or more Agencies to discuss the scope and content of the proposed EIR as soon as possible, but not later than thirty (30) days after the meeting is requested. Meetings may also be held with interested individuals, other technical experts or CEQA professionals or others who can contribute to the completion of an adequate CEQA document.

- e) Preparation of the Draft EIR.** The Environmental Coordinator shall prepare or cause to be prepared a Draft EIR containing all the information required by Article 9 of the State CEQA Guidelines.

- f) Use of a Consultant.** The Draft EIR may be prepared by existing staff or by supplemental technical staff retained for the duration of a specific environmental review process. The Environmental Coordinator may also choose to retain a qualified consultant to conduct required reviews under staff direction. The EIR may also be prepared by a consultant retained directly by the project proponent. However, the Environmental Coordinator is authorized

to approve or reject a specific consultant or consultant team if it is determined that the consultant is not adequately prepared to conduct an adequate environmental review. Any consultant used shall prepare the review pursuant to a plan prepared by the Environmental Coordinator. The Environmental Coordinator shall review the consultant's work and require that the review reflect LAFCO's independent judgment.

- g) Notice of Completion.** As soon as the Draft EIR is completed, the Environmental Coordinator shall file a Notice of Completion with the State Office of Planning and Research (OPR) as provided in Section 15085 of the State CEQA Guidelines. The Notice of Completion shall conform substantially with Exhibit "F" attached to these procedures.
- h) Public Review of the Draft EIR.** Concurrent with filing of the Notice of Completion, the Environmental Coordinator shall distribute the Draft EIR and provide notice as required in Section 15087 of the State CEQA Guidelines. Public review periods for Draft EIRs shall not be less than thirty (30) days nor more than ninety (90) days.

If LAFCO is the Lead Agency for a project over which a state agency has legal jurisdiction, the project site is located within the Tahoe Basin, or when it is determined to be in the best public interest to seek review of a Draft EIR by state agencies with special expertise or interest in a project, the Environmental Coordinator shall submit the Draft EIR to the State Clearinghouse and the review period shall be no less than 45 days unless a request for a shortened review period is granted by the State Clearinghouse.

- i) Public Hearing.** At the public hearing, the hearing body shall consider the contents of the Draft EIR; consider any written comments submitted by reviewers of the Draft EIR; and hear any oral testimony relative to the Draft EIR from those in attendance at the hearing. If the Draft EIR is substantially questioned as to content or if testimony received requires responses, the Environmental Coordinator shall be instructed to prepare responses which will be incorporated into a Final EIR. At the initial hearing, if no substantive questions are raised regarding the content or adequacy of the Draft EIR, LAFCO may certify the Draft EIR as a Final EIR.

8. Final Environmental Impact Report Process.

- a) Submission of Information.** As a result of questions raised during the Draft EIR process, the Environmental Coordinator may require the project applicant to submit additional information necessary for preparation of the Final EIR.
- b) FEIR Preparation.** The Environmental Coordinator shall prepare, or cause to be prepared, a Final EIR containing: the Draft or revised Draft EIR; comments and recommendations received on the Draft EIR; a list of persons, agencies and organizations commenting on the Draft EIR; responses to significant

environmental points raised during the review and consultation processes; and any information added by the Lead Agency (§15132).

- c) Distribution.** The Environmental Coordinator shall distribute the Final EIR to those agencies and persons who submitted comments on the Draft EIR. Written responses to comments received from public agencies will be provided to those agencies at least ten days before the LAFCO hearing to certify the FEIR.
- d) Public Hearing.** At the public hearing, the hearing body shall consider the FEIR; hear any testimony relative to the EIR from those in attendance at the hearing; certify that the Final EIR has been completed in compliance with CEQA and State Guidelines, and that the hearing body has reviewed and considered the information contained in the EIR; and adopt any findings as required by this section. If the hearing body fails to certify the Final EIR, it shall advise the Environmental Coordinator what further information is desired. The Final EIR shall be certified prior to action upon the project.
- e) Additional Evidence.** If a hearing body intends to approve a project for which the Final EIR identifies one or more significant effects, the hearing body may require the proponent of the project to provide and submit evidence into the record to substantiate the need to approve the project notwithstanding the identification of the proposed project's significant environmental effects.
- f) Findings.** The hearing body shall not approve a project for which a Final EIR has been certified and which identifies one or more significant environmental effects of the project unless the hearing body makes one or more written findings for each of those significant effects, accompanied by a brief explanation of the rationale for each finding (§15091). The Environmental Coordinator shall prepare or cause to be prepared written findings in each area identified as significantly effected. Oral findings may be made and approved by the hearing body as part of the hearing process, provided such oral findings are transcribed and placed in the project file.

Each finding shall be supported by substantial evidence in the record. No action on a project shall be considered final until Findings are adopted. Possible findings are:

- Changes or alterations have been required in, or incorporated into, the project which mitigate or avoid the significant environmental effects thereof as identified in the Final EIR; and
- Specific overriding economic, legal, social, economic, technological or other benefits of the project outweigh the significant effects on the environment and identified considerations render proposed mitigation measures or project alternatives identified in the Final EIR infeasible.

This finding shall describe the specific reasons for rejecting identified mitigation measures and project alternatives.

- g) Continuance of Hearings.** A hearing body may continue any hearing on a project to allow a reasonable period to draft proposed findings as long as such continuance does not exceed limits required pursuant to the Cortese Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000. Unless otherwise ordered by the hearing body, any public hearing continued for the sole purpose of preparation of proposed findings shall be deemed a closed hearing and additional evidence and testimony shall not be received.
- h) Mitigation Monitoring and Reporting Program.** If mitigation measures are adopted by the hearing body for the purpose of reducing the environmental impacts of a project, a mitigation monitoring and reporting program shall be prepared and adopted pursuant to Section 21081.6 of CEQA using forms found in Exhibit J.
- i) Notice of Determination.** Within five days after project approval, a Notice of Determination, which shall conform substantially to Exhibit "G", shall be filed by the Environmental Coordinator with the County Clerk. This notice shall be posted within 24 hours of receipt and for a minimum of thirty (30) days. An endorsed copy of the Notice shall be obtained by the Environmental Coordinator with a notation of the period it was posted. If the project requires discretionary approval by a state agency, the Notice shall also be filed with the State Office of Planning and Research (OPR).

C. Assumption of Lead Agency Role upon Request.

LAFCO may assume the Lead Agency role at the request of another public agency if the Lead Agency cannot or does not desire to assume the Lead Agency role. In such cases, a written agreement will be required.

D. Categorical and Statutory Exemptions.

There are a number of types of projects, such as emergency repairs, fee adoption, ministerial projects, and feasibility or planning studies, which are statutorily declared exempt from the requirements of CEQA. There are currently thirty-two Categorical Exemptions that have been created by the state pursuant to §21084 of CEQA. Pursuant to Section 15300.4 of the State CEQA Guidelines, LAFCO hereby lists those classes of projects that are exempt from these procedures and are likely to be used by LAFCO.

Class 1 - Existing Facilities (§15301).

Class 2 - Replacement or Reconstruction (§15302).

Class 3 - New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures (§15303).

Class 4 - Minor Alterations to Land (§15304).

Class 6 - Information Collection (§15306).

Class 7 - Actions by Regulatory Agencies for Protection of Natural Resources (§15307).

Class 8 - Actions by Regulatory Agencies for Protection of the Environment (§15308).

Class 19 - Annexations of Existing Facilities and Lots for Exempt Facilities (§15319).

Class 19 consists of two types of only the following annexations:

- (a) Annexations to a city or special district of areas containing existing public or private structures developed to the density allowed by the current zoning or pre-zoning of either the gaining or losing environmental agency whichever is more restrictive, provided however that the extension of utility services to the existing facilities would have the capacity to serve only the existing facilities; and
- (b) Annexations of individual small parcels of the minimum size for facilities exempted by Section 15303, New Construction or Conversion of Small Structures.”

Class 20 - Changes in Organization of Local Agencies (§15320). Class 20 consists of changes in the organization or reorganization of local government agencies where the changes do not change the geographical area in which previous existing powers are exercised. Examples include but are not limited to:

- (a) Establishment of a subsidiary district;
- (b) Consolidation of two or more districts having identical powers; and
- (c) Merger with a city of a district lying entirely within the boundaries of the city.

Class 22 - Educational or Training Programs Involving No Physical Changes (§15322).

Class 24 - Regulation of Working Conditions (§15324).

Class 25 - Transfers of Ownership of Interests in Land to Preserve Existing Natural Conditions and Historical Resources (§15325).

Class 27 - Leasing New Facilities (§15327).

Statutory Exemptions. All those exemptions listed in §21080 of CEQA.

Exceptions. Pursuant to §15300.2, the following exceptions exist:

- Specific classes. Class 3, 4, 5, 6, and 11 are qualified by consideration of where the project is to be located - a project that is ordinarily insignificant in its impact on the environment may in a particularly sensitive environment be significant.

Therefore, these classes are considered to apply in all instances, EXCEPT where the project may impact on an environmental resource of hazardous or critical concern where designated, precisely mapped, and officially adopted pursuant to law by federal, state or local agencies.

- Cumulative Impacts. All exemptions are inapplicable when the cumulative impact of successive projects of the same type in the same place, over time is significant.
- General Rule. A categorical exemption shall not be used for an activity where there is a reasonable possibility that the activity will have a significant effect on the environment due to unusual circumstances (§15061 (b)(3)).
- Other. A categorical exemption shall not be used for a project which may result in damage to scenic resources, including but not limited to, trees, historic buildings, rock outcroppings, or similar resources, within a highway officially designated as a state scenic highway. This does not apply to improvements that are required as mitigation by an adopted ND or certified EIR.

A categorical exemption shall not be used for a project located on a site that is included on any list compiled pursuant to Section 65962.5 of the Government Code.

A categorical exemption shall not be used for a project that may cause a substantial adverse change to significance of a historical resource.

Notice of Exemption (NOE). LAFCO shall file an NOE at the County Recorder-Clerk's Office for any projects for which such determination is made. The form used to document and file NOEs is attached as Exhibit E.

E. Incorporation of State CEQA Guidelines.

As to matters not specifically covered by these procedures, the procedures and provisions set forth in the State CEQA Guidelines shall apply to, govern and control matters.

F. Miscellaneous Projects.

Applications filed for projects which may require the preparation of EIRs, NDs or MNDs and are not otherwise provided for in these procedures shall be processed as determined by the Environmental Coordinator. If any person is dissatisfied with the determination of the Environmental Coordinator as to the method of processing, he/she may appeal the determination as provided in these procedures.

G. Review by State Agencies.

- 1. State Clearinghouse Review.** EIRs, NDs and MNDs to be reviewed by State agencies shall be submitted to the State as prescribed in Section 15205 of the State CEQA Guidelines.
- 2. State Agency Review of Projects of Statewide, Regional or Area-wide Significance.** State review will proceed according to the following provisions:
 - EIRs, NDs and MNDs shall be submitted to the State Clearinghouse, as prescribed in Section 15206 of the State CEQA Guidelines, or whenever the Environmental Coordinator determines that a project may be of statewide, regional or area-wide significance.
 - The Environmental Coordinator shall request that the State Clearinghouse transmit a copy of each project's State Clearinghouse distribution list to LAFCO.
- 3. State Fish and Game Department Review.**
 - If the State Clearinghouse distribution list indicates that a project has been reviewed by the State Department of Fish and Game, the project will be determined to not be de minimis. The Environmental Coordinator may also determine that a project is not de minimis, and may submit a project to the State Fish and Game Department specifically for environmental review purposes, independent of action by the State Clearinghouse. In either case, the project will be subject to State Fish and Game fees pursuant to Section 21089 of the Public Resources Code, and Section 711.4 of the State Fish and Game Code regulations.
 - The Environmental Coordinator shall notify the project proponent of the need to collect State Fish and Game fees.
 - LAFCO shall not approve a project for which an EIR, ND or MND has been prepared and which is not de minimis until State Fish and Game Department fees have been collected. Pursuant to state law, no project found not to be de minimis is vested or approved until Fish and Game fees have been collected.
 - LAFCO may continue any hearing on a project so that the applicant can remit required fees to LAFCO as permitted pursuant to the Cortese/Knox-Hertzberg Local Government Reorganization Act of 2000. Unless otherwise ordered by the hearing body, any public hearing continued solely for the purpose of collecting fees shall be deemed a closed hearing and additional evidence and testimony shall not be taken.
 - If a project is found not de minimis, and fees have been collected, LAFCO shall note in the public record that Fish and Game fees have been collected pursuant to Section 21089 of CEQA.

- The Environmental Coordinator shall maintain proof of fee payment in the project history file.
- The Environmental Coordinator shall include the final approving body's findings and record of fee payment on the Notice of Determination for a project. Fees will be deposited with the County Recorder-Clerk.

H. Public Notices and Public Review Periods.

1. Public Notice. The following processes shall be used for providing public notice:

- a) Negative Declaration.** A Notice of Intent to adopt or consider an ND or MND shall be provided to the public not less than twenty (20) days in advance of the hearing. The Environmental Coordinator shall cause such notice to be posted in the County Recorder-Clerk offices for a period of at least 20 days. Public notice shall be mailed to any person who has filed a written request for such notice and shall also be published at least one time in a newspaper of general circulation in the area affected by the proposed project.
- b) Draft EIR.** A public notice of the availability of the Draft EIR shall be provided at the same time as the Draft EIR is made available for public review and not less than 30 days in advance of the hearing. The Environmental Coordinator shall cause such notice to be posted in the offices of the County Recorder-Clerk for a period of at least 30 days running concurrent with the public review period. Notice shall be mailed to the last known name and address of all organizations and individuals who have previously requested such notice in writing, and shall also be published at least one time in a newspaper of general circulation in the area affected by the proposed project.
- c) Final EIR.** A Notice that an FEIR will be considered by LAFCO as Responsible Agency shall be provided to the public not less than thirty (30) days in advance of the hearing. Public notice shall be mailed to any person who has filed a written request for such notice and shall also be published at least one time in a newspaper of general circulation in the area affected by the proposed project.

2. Public Review. The following processes shall be used for providing an adequate time period for public review:

- a) Negative Declaration and MND.** The public review period for an ND or MND shall not be less than twenty (20) days. When the ND or MND is submitted to the State Clearinghouse for review, the review period shall be at least 30 days. Any request for a shortened review period must be submitted, in writing, to the State Clearinghouse by the Environmental Coordinator. The shortened review period shall not be less than twenty (20) days.

b) Environmental Impact Report. The public review period for a Draft Environmental Impact Report (DEIR) shall not be less than thirty (30) days. When a DEIR is submitted to the State Clearinghouse for review, the review period shall be at least forty-five (45) days. Any request for a shortened review period must be submitted, in writing, to the State Clearinghouse by the Environmental Coordinator. The shortened review period shall not be less than 30 days.

I. Mitigation Monitoring Programs (MMP).

1. Timing. MMPs shall be adopted prior to the approval of a proposed project. Certificate of Completions shall not be issued, nor boundary changes filed with the State Board of Equalization, until all elements of the adopted MMP have been completed.

2. Content. The MMP shall include:

- A list of mitigation measures stated exactly as adopted by LAFCO;
- For each mitigation measure, actions that need to be taken by the project proponent, other public agencies and LAFCO will be listed;
- For each mitigation measure, every action needed to complete the mitigation measure shall be clearly described and include an anticipated date or timetable for completion;
- For each mitigation measure, a section where field notes, status information and problem resolution data can be entered.
- For each mitigation measure, a location for, and description of, LAFCO verification actions.

Exhibit A - Initial Study Checklist

CONSISTENCY DETERMINATIONS	Land Use Designation	Consistent?	Inconsistent?	Comments
Sphere of Influence Plan	N/A			
Area Service Plans	N/A			
Other District Boundaries	N/A			
General Plan				
Community Plan				
Specific Plan				
Land Use Zone				
Airport	N/A			
Mineral Resource Zone	N/A			
Resource Conservation/ Open Space Plan	N/A			
State or federal Recreation Area Plan	N/A			
AREA OF IMPACT	Potentially Significant	Less Than Sig. Mitigated	Less Than Significant/None	Comments
1. LAND USE, SPHERE OF INFLUENCE AND BOUNDARY CONSIDERATIONS - Would the project:				
a. Conflict with any applicable sphere of influence, boundary, district or city operations, resource conservation plan, growth management, air quality or trip reduction ordinance, land use plan, policy, or regulation of a local, state or federal agency with jurisdiction over the project (including but not limited to a general plan, specific plan or zoning ordinance) adopted to avoid or mitigate an environmental effect?				

b. Result in substantial noncontiguous urban development which, in turn, results in adverse physical impacts?				
c. Physically disrupt/divide an established community?				
1. Additional Discussion:				
2. POPULATION/HOUSING - Would the project:				
a. Induce substantial unplanned population growth in an area by introducing increased densities, new homes and businesses) or indirectly or by extending infrastructure or increasing the capacity of infrastructure)?				
b. Displace substantial amounts of existing housing, causing replacement housing construction of elsewhere?				
2. Additional Discussion:				
3. AGRICULTURAL AND OPEN SPACE RESOURCES - Would the project:				
a. Result in substantial loss of important agricultural, open space or resource land?				
b. Cause premature, ill planned, illogical, or inefficient conversion of prime agricultural, open space, mineral resource or other important resource areas not planned for development in the next five years especially when such land is not located within the Sphere of Influence of a proposed service provider and there is alternative sufficient vacant land available for development?				
c. Convert Prime Agricultural Land, Unique Farmland, Farmland of Statewide Importance or areas containing prime soils or productive agricultural operations to uses not conducive to agricultural production?				
d. Conflict with any existing Williamson Act contract?				
e. Induce development by permitting uses that adversely impact adjacent agricultural operations, significantly				

increase the property values of adjacent or proximate resource land, or remove natural or man made buffers between urban and agricultural/open space uses?				
f. Conflict with agricultural, open space or resource conservation plans or programs of the state or federal government?				
g. See section 15 for consideration of growth inducement.				
3. Additional Discussion:				
4. AESTHETICS - Would the project:				
a. Substantially alter existing viewsheds such as scenic highways, corridors or vistas?				
b. Substantially degrade the existing visual character or quality of the site and its surroundings?				
c. Create a new source of substantial light, glare or shadow that would result in safety hazards or adversely affect day or nighttime views in the area?				
4. Additional Discussion:				
5. AIRPORTS - Would the project:				
a. Result in a safety hazard for people residing or working in the vicinity of an airport/airstrip?				
b. Expose people residing or working in the project area to aircraft noise levels in excess of applicable standards?				
c. Result in a substantial adverse effect upon the safe and efficient use of navigable airspace by aircraft?				
d. Result in a change in air traffic patterns, including either an increase in traffic levels or a change in location, which results in substantial safety risks?				
5. Additional Discussion:				

6. PUBLIC SERVICES - Would the project:				
a. Cause the adequacy of services provided to decrease, costs of service provision to rise substantially, or cause those currently receiving service to receive reduced or inadequate services especially when such change may cause adverse health and safety or other physical impacts?				
b. Cause the infrastructure capacity of a service provider to exceed planned and safe limits especially when such change may cause adverse health and safety or other physical impacts?				
c. Causes unnecessary service provision and adversely affects important public resources, or the cost and adequacy of public services to the detriment of the public health and safety?				
d. Have an adequate water supply for project buildout?				
e. Have adequate wastewater treatment and disposal facilities for full buildout of the project?				
f. Be served by a landfill with sufficient permitted capacity to accommodate the project's solid waste disposal needs?				
g. Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the construction of new water supply or wastewater treatment and disposal facilities or expansion of existing facilities?				
h. Place conflicting land uses within an odor, or other protective barrier for a solid waste disposal site, energy facility, wastewater treatment plant or similar facility?				
i. Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of storm water drainage facilities?				
j. Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of electric or natural gas service?				

k. Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of emergency services?				
l. Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of public school services? Note: Established case law, Goleta Union School District v. The Regents of the University of California (36 Cal-App. 4 th 1121, 1995), indicates that school overcrowding, standing alone, is not a change in the physical conditions, and cannot be treated as an impact on the environment.				
m. Result in substantial adverse physical impacts associated with the provision of park and recreation services?				
6. Additional Discussion:				
7. TRANSPORTATION/TRAFFIC - Would the project:				
a. Result in a substantial increase in peak hour vehicle trip-ends that could exceed, either individually or cumulatively, an established level of service?				
b. Result in a substantial adverse impact to access or circulation?				
c. Result in substantial adverse impact due to inadequate parking capacity?				
d. Conflict with adopted policies, plans, or programs supporting alternative transportation (e.g., bus turnouts, bicycle racks)?				
e. Result in a substantial adverse impact to public safety on area roadways?				
7. Additional Discussion:				
8. AIR QUALITY - Would the project:				
a. Result in a cumulatively considerable net increase of any criteria pollutant for which the project region is in non-attainment under an applicable federal or state ambient				

air quality standard?				
b. Expose sensitive receptors to pollutants (i.e. asbestos) or pollutant concentrations in excess of standards?				
c. Create objectionable odors, dust, or other nuisances affecting a substantial number of people?				
8. Additional Discussion:				
9. NOISE - Would the project:				
a. Result in exposure of persons to, or generation of, noise levels in excess of standards established by the general plan, noise ordinance or other noise standards?				
b. Result in a substantial temporary increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity?				
c. Result in a substantial temporary increase in ambient noise levels in the project vicinity?				
9. Additional Discussion:				
10. HYDROLOGY AND WATER QUALITY - Would the project:				
a. Substantially deplete groundwater supplies or substantially interfere with groundwater recharge?				
b. Substantially alter the existing drainage pattern of the project area and/or increase the rate or amount of surface runoff in a manner that would result in flooding on- or off-site?				
c. Develop within a 100-year floodplain as mapped on a federal Flood Insurance Rate Map or within a local flood hazard area?				
d. Place structures that would impede or redirect flood flows within a 100-year floodplain?				
e. Expose people or structures to a substantial risk of loss, injury or death involving flooding, including flooding as a result of the failure of a levee or dam?				

f. Create or contribute runoff that would exceed the capacity of existing or planned drainage systems?				
g. Create substantial sources of polluted runoff or otherwise substantially degrade ground or surface water quality?				
10. Additional Discussion:				
11. GEOLOGY AND SOILS - Would the project:				
a. Cause premature, ill planned, illogical, or inefficient conversion of land containing important mineral resources, included in a state designated mineral resource zone and not planned for development in the next five years especially when such land is not located within the Sphere of Influence of a proposed service provider and there is alternative sufficient vacant land available for development?				
b. Expose people or structures to substantial risk of loss, injury or death involving rupture of a known earthquake fault, as delineated on the most recent Alquist-Priolo Earthquake Fault Zoning Map issued by the State Geologist for the area or based on other substantial evidence of a known fault?				
c. Result in substantial soil erosion, siltation or loss of topsoil?				
d. Be located on a geologic unit or soil that is unstable, or that would become unstable as a result of the project, and potentially result in on- or off-site landslide, lateral spreading, subsidence, soil expansion, liquefaction or collapse, or release or leaching of pollutants?				
e. Have soils incapable of adequately supporting the use of septic tanks or alternative wastewater disposal systems where sewers are not available?				
f. Result in a substantial loss of an important mineral resource?				
g. Directly or indirectly destroy a unique paleontological resource or site?				
11. Additional Discussion:				

12. BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES - Would the project:				
a. Cause premature, ill planned, illogical, or inefficient conversion of important wildlife resource areas not planned for development in the next five years especially when such land is not located within the Sphere of Influence of a proposed service provider and there is alternative sufficient vacant land available for urban uses?				
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on special status species?				
c. Have a substantial adverse effect on any riparian habitat or other sensitive natural community?				
d. Have a substantial adverse effect on wetlands designated as jurisdictional waters of the United States as defined by Section 404 of the Clean Water Act?				
e. Have a substantial adverse effect on the movement of any native resident or migratory fish or wildlife species?				
f. Result in removal or damage to native/landmark trees?				
g. Conflict with local policies or ordinances protecting biological resources?				
h. Conflict with the provisions of an adopted Habitat Conservation Plan or other approved local, regional, state or federal plan for the conservation of habitat?				
12. Additional Discussion:				
13. CULTURAL RESOURCES - Would the project:				
a. Cause a substantial adverse change in the significance of an historical resource?				
b. Have a substantial adverse effect on an archaeological resource?				
c. Disturb any human remains, including those interred outside of formal cemeteries?				
13. Additional Discussion:				

14. HAZARDS AND HAZARDOUS MATERIALS - Would the project:				
a. Create a substantial hazard to the public or the environment through the routine transport, use or disposal of hazardous materials?				
b. Expose the public or the environment to a substantial hazard through reasonably foreseeable upset conditions involving the release of hazardous materials (i.e. asbestos)? Emit hazardous emissions or handle hazardous or acutely hazardous materials, substances or waste within one-quarter mile of an existing or proposed school?				
c. Be located on a site that is included on a list of hazardous materials sites compiled pursuant to Government Code Section 65962.5, resulting in a substantial hazard to the public or the environment?				
d. Impair implementation or physically interfere with an emergency response or emergency evacuation plan?				
14. Additional Discussion:				
15. GROWTH INDUCEMENT - Would the project:				
a. Include or plan for infrastructure capacity, especially water and sewer lines, that exceed the needs of the proposed project and may be used to serve areas not planned for development, especially those containing prime agricultural land, mineral, sensitive plant and wildlife or other important resources?				
b. Induce substantial growth on important agricultural and open space lands because it would:				
b1. Permit the extension of, or require, infrastructure such as flood control levees or water diversions, electrical, water or sewer lines, especially trunk lines, roadways or other public facilities that would permit new development in a substantial area currently constrained from development?				
b2. Encourage or foster development by permitting uses				

that adversely impact adjacent agricultural operations, significantly increase property values of adjacent or proximate resource land, or remove natural or man made buffers between urban and agricultural, mining or other conservation uses?				
15. Additional Discussion:				
16. CUMULATIVE IMPACTS - Would the project:				
a. When considered in conjunction with other recent, present and reasonably foreseeable projects, cause significant adverse cumulative impacts?				
16. Additional Discussion:				
17. OTHER - Would the project:				

Exhibit B - CEQA Document Distribution Record

Date: _____

From: José C. Henríquez, Executive Officer
El Dorado Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO)
550 Main Street, Suite E
Placerville, CA 95667
Phone - (530) 295-2707
FAX - (530) 295-1208

To:

Project: _____; **LAFCO No:** _____

The subject proposal has been reviewed by El Dorado LAFCO and the environmental documents prepared to date are attached. It has been determined pursuant to the California Environmental Quality Act, that the following document is appropriate for the subject project:

- Environmental Impact Report
- Exemption
- No public review period is required.
- The required ___ day public review period will end on _____. Written comments may be transmitted to El Dorado LAFCO.
- Negative Declaration
- Mitigated Negative Declaration

Public/legal notice is ___ is not ___ required. Required notice will be provided by LAFCO. The attached document and proposed project will be considered at a public hearing to be held on _____ at _____.

Please sign and return this form to acknowledge receipt of document.

Date Received: _____

Signature: _____

Exhibit C - Notice of Preparation

TO:

FROM: El Dorado Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO)
550 Main Street, Suite E
Placerville, CA 95667
(530) 295-2707

CONTACT: José C. Henríquez
Environmental Coordinator

SUBJECT: NOTICE OF PREPARATION OF A DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL IMPACT REPORT

LAFCO will be the Lead Agency and will prepare an Environmental Impact Report (EIR) for the project identified below. We need to know the views of interested persons and agencies as to the scope and content of the environmental information to be included in the EIR. Agencies should comment on the scope and content of the environmental information which is germane to the agencies' statutory responsibilities in connection with the proposed project.

The project description, location, and the probable environmental effects are contained in the attached materials.

Due to the time limits mandated by State law, your response must be sent at the earliest possible date, but not later than 30 days after receipt of this notice. Please send your response to José C. Henríquez, Environmental Coordinator at the address shown above. We will need the name for a contact person in your agency.

PROJECT TITLE/NUMBER: _____

PROJECT LOCATION: _____ **El Dorado**
City/Community (Nearest) **County**

PROJECT APPLICANT:

DATE: _____ **SIGNATURE:** _____

cc: State Clearinghouse (if applicable)

Exhibit D - Negative Declaration

Pursuant to Division 6, Title 14, Chapter 3, Article 6, Sections 15070 and 15071 of the California Administrative Code and pursuant to the Procedures for Preparation and Processing of CEQA reviews adopted through Resolution No. _____, the Environmental Coordinator of El Dorado LAFCO, State of California, does prepare, make, declare, publish, and cause to be filed with the County Clerk of El Dorado County, State of California, this Negative Declaration for the proposed project described as follows:

1. **Title, LAFCO No. and Short Description:** From the Initial Study.
2. **Location of Project:** From Initial Study

Assessor's Parcel Number/s: _____

3. **Project Applicant:** _____

4. Said project will not have a significant effect on the environment for the following reasons:
 - a) It will not have the potential to degrade the quality of the environment, substantially reduce the habitat of a fish or wildlife species, cause a fish or wildlife population to drop below self sustaining levels, threaten to eliminate a plant or animal community, reduce the number or restrict the range of a rare or endangered plant or animal or eliminate important examples of the major periods of California history or prehistory.
 - b) It will not have the potential to achieve short-term, to the disadvantage of long-term, environmental goals.
 - c) It will not have impacts which are individually limited, but cumulatively considerable.
 - d) It will not have environmental effects which will cause substantial adverse effects on human beings, either directly or indirectly.
5. As a result thereof, the preparation of an environmental impact report pursuant to the Environmental Quality Act (Division 13 of the Public Resources Code of the State of California) is not required.
6. The attached Initial Study has been performed by the in support of this Negative Declaration. Further information may be obtained by contacting the El Dorado Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO), 550 Main Street, Suite E, Placerville, CA 95667, (530) 295-2707.

By

José C. Henríquez
Environmental Coordinator
El Dorado Local Agency Formation Commission
State of California

Exhibit E - Notice of Exemption

Notice of Exemption

TO: Office of Planning and Research
1400 Tenth Street, Room 121
Sacramento, CA 95814

FROM: (Public Agency)
El Dorado LAFCO
550 Main Street, Suite E
Placerville, CA 95667

X County Clerk
County of El Dorado

Project Title:

Project Location - Specific:

Project Location - City: Project Location - County: El Dorado

Description of Project:

Name of Public Agency Approving Project: El Dorado LAFCO

Name of Person or Agency Carrying out Project: El Dorado LAFCO

Exempt Status: (check one)

- Ministerial (Sec. 21080(b)(1);15268);
Declared Emergency (Sec.21080(b)(3);15269(a);
Categorical Exemption. State type and selection number: Class 20, Section 15320.
Statutory Exemptions. State code number:

Reasons why project is exempt:

Lead Agency Contact Person: José C. Henríquez (530) 295-2707

If filed by applicant:

- 1. Attach certified document of exemption finding.
2. Has a notice of exemption been filed by the public agency approving the project? Yes No

Signature: Date: Title: Executive Officer

Signed by Lead Agency Date received for filing at OPR:
Signed by Applicant

Exhibit F - Certificate of Completion

RECORDING REQUESTED BY AND
 MAIL TO:
 EL DORADO LAFCO
 550 MAIN STREET, SUITE E
 PLACERVILLE, CA 95667

SPACE ABOVE THIS LINE RESERVED FOR RECORDER'S USE

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLETION

As Executive Officer of the El Dorado Local Agency Formation Commission, I hereby certify that the attached documents are complete and in accordance with the boundaries, modifications and conditions specified by the Commission in its Resolution No. «LAFCO Resolution No» approving this action.

1. The kind of change of organization or reorganization and the short-form designation of the proceeding is:

 «Project_Name»; LAFCO Project No. «Project_No»
2. The agencies listed above are located within El Dorado County.
3. The attached resolution of approval is made a part of this certificate by reference and sets forth the description of the boundaries of the proposal and any terms and conditions that apply.
4. The attached resolution of the conducting authority ordering this change without election or confirming an order of election by the voters was adopted on (Manually Enter).
5. The effective date shall be the five (5) working days after recordation by the County Recorder of the Executive Officer's Certificate of Completion once the imposed conditions are met.
6. The LAFCO resolution, legal description and official map are attached as Exhibit A.

By: _____
 José C. Henríquez
 Executive Officer
 Local Agency Formation Commission

Date Completed: (Manually Enter)

Exhibit G - Notice of Determination

NOTICE OF DETERMINATION

FILE NO. _____

TO: COUNTY CLERK
County of El Dorado
330 Fairlane
Placerville, CA 95667

FROM: EL DORADO COUNTY LAFCO
550 Main Street, Suite E
Placerville, CA 95667

____ STATE OFFICE OF PLANNING AND RESEARCH

SUBJ: Filing of NOTICE OF DETERMINATION in compliance with Section 21108 or 21152 of the Public Resources Code
PROJECT TITLE: _____

NAME OF APPLICANT: _____

ASSESSOR'S PARCEL NO. _____

AREA PLAN: _____ SECTION: _____ TOWNSHIP: _____ RANGE: _____

NEAREST ROAD INTERSECTION: _____

____ ANNEXATION TO ____ DETACHMENT FROM ____ FORMATION OF

NAME OF DISTRICT: _____

____ OTHER: _____

The EL DORADO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION (LAFCO) has ____ approved ____ disapproved this project on _____ and made the following determinations:

- 1) Project ____ will ____ will not, have a significant effect on the environment.
- 2) ____ Environmental Impact Report was prepared pursuant to provisions of CEQA.
____ Negative Declaration was prepared pursuant to provisions of CEQA.
- 3) Mitigation Measures ____ were ____ were not adopted for this project.
- 4) A Statement of Overriding Considerations ____ was ____ was not adopted.

LAFCO is filing this NOTICE OF DETERMINATION acting as responsible agency.

*The Environmental Impact Report or Negative Declaration and Record of Project Approval (lead agency and date) may be obtained at the EL DORADO LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION office.

Prepared By: José C. Henríquez, Executive Officer

Date

FISH AND GAME AB3158 FEES/RECORDING FEES

____ Project filing by Responsible Agency, receipt attached;
\$50 Recorder's fee required

____ Negative Declaration prepared; \$1,285 fee required

____ EIR filed; \$885 fee required

FOR USE BY COUNTY CLERK

Public Resources Code Section 21152(A) requires local agencies to submit this information to the County Clerk. The filing of the Notice starts a 30-day Statute of Limitations on court challenges to the approval of the project under Public Resources Code Section 21167. Failure to file the Notice results in the Statute of Limitations being extended to 180 days.

Exhibit H - Public Notice Templates

These notices assume that LAFCO knows the date of the public hearing and prefers to notice actions simultaneously. Where choices are provided, it is expected that a single item will be selected and boxes and other items will be deleted by the notice preparer.

PUBLIC NOTICE (for Lead Agency)

NOTICE is hereby given that a Negative Declaration Draft Environmental Impact Report Final Environmental Impact Report has been prepared for the project described below and is available for public review pursuant to the State CEQA Guidelines. It has been determined that the project will, will not have a significant adverse impact upon the environment. Identified adverse impacts include: (List significant adverse impacts if any.) LAFCO intends to consider, adopt, certify the environmental document. A mitigation program will, will not be considered for adoption. (The final sentence may be deleted if there is no mitigation program.)

Title:

LAFCO Number:

State Clearinghouse No. (if applicable:

Location:

General Description: The proposed project consists of.....

Copies of the environmental document are available, and may be reviewed, at the El Dorado Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO), 550 Main Street, Ste. E, Placerville, CA 95667, Telephone - (530)295-2707. Questions or comments regarding the environmental document should be directed to José C. Henriquez at the address given above. The 20, 30, 45, _____ day public review period ends on insert month/day/year. Those who wish to comment on the environmental document are urged to submit written comments to the above address by 6 PM on that date. Failure to do so will not preclude your right to testify at a public hearing to be held before LAFCO on _____, at _____ p.m. in the Meeting Room in Building C of the El Dorado County Government Center located at 2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, California.

PUBLIC NOTICE (For Responsible Agency)

NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING

Notice is hereby given that the Local Agency Formation Committee will hold a public hearing at 5:30 p.m. or as soon thereafter as possible, on Date of Public Hearing in the Meeting Room in Building C, El Dorado County Government Center, located at 2850 Fairlane Court, Placerville, California 95667, to consider the following items:

OPTION LANGUAGE: "Consideration will also be given to the following changes: Annex the Smith property (APN) to the El Dorado Irrigation District, approximately 1.4 acres located"

Meeting materials will be available five days before the meeting. Any person may submit oral or written comments. Staff will distribute written comments to the Commission if submitted 24 hours before the meeting. If you have any questions, you may contact the LAFCO office during normal business hours at (530) 295-2707; José Henriquez, Executive Officer, 550 Main Street, Suite E, Placerville, CA 95667.

EL DORADO COUNTY LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

JOSÉ C. HENRÍQUEZ, EXECUTIVE OFFICER

MOUNTAIN DEMOCRAT

TO BE PUBLISHED ONE TIME ONLY: Date Notice Will Be Published

Exhibit I - CEQA Timelines Chart
(Code Sections from CEQA and CEQA Guidelines)

Trigger Event	Action Required	Time Period	Code Section
Responsible Agency			
Application received.	Notify applicant of additional information requirements, if necessary. If none, deem application complete.	Within 30 days of receiving application.	§15101
After receiving a consultation or scoping request from a lead agency.	Responsible agency provides contact name, consults with lead agency, explains reasons for a particular environmental determination, identifies issues, attends meetings.	30 days	§15096 (b)(1)
After receiving a Notice of Preparation from a lead agency (EIR).	Responsible agency comments on the scope and content of the review of issues pertinent to its authorities.	As soon as possible but within 30 days of receipt of the Notice.	§15096 (b)(2) §15103
During the public comment period.	Responsible agency reviews the draft EIR / Proposed ND/MND and provides comments on the scope and content of the review of issues pertinent to its authorities.	Within the public comment period.	§15204
NOD filed.	File legal challenges, if any.	30 calendar days from filing date.	§15094
Lead Agency			
Application received.	Notify applicant of additional information requirements, if necessary. If none, deem application complete.	Within 30 days of receiving application.	§15101
As soon as the application is deemed complete.	Determine whether the activity is a project subject to CEQA (Not subject to CEQA if no discretionary approval is needed, no physical change in the environment, not a project as defined by §15378).	Starts clock for environmental review processing time requirements (30 days to conduct Initial Study).	§15060(c)
If the project is determined to be subject to CEQA.	Determine whether the project is exempt from CEQA (check for statutory exemptions – §15260, categorical exemptions – §15300 or general exemptions – §15061)	Starts clock for environmental review processing time requirements (30 days to conduct Initial Study).	§15061
If the project is exempt from CEQA.	File Notice of Exemption with County Recorder-Clerk.	If filed within 5 business days after LAFCO approval, the legal challenge period is 35 days, if not the challenge	§15062 §15112

Trigger Event	Action Required	Time Period	Code Section
		period is 180 days.	
If the project is subject to CEQA and is not exempt.	Conduct an Initial Study to determine which type of environmental review to prepare: ND, MND or EIR (does not require an Initial Study if lead agency determines an EIR is needed §15060(d)).	Within 30 days of accepting complete application (15-day extension possible).	§15063 §15102
As soon as it is determined an Initial Study is needed.	Lead agency informally consults with responsible agencies, affected agencies, and interested parties about scope/type of environmental review.	Before the Initial Study is complete.	§15063(g)
Negative Declaration / Mitigated Negative Declaration			
After the Initial Study is completed.	Prepare a proposed ND / MND.	After the Initial Study, before the Notice of Intent to Adopt document.	§15071
Provide Notice of Intent to adopt ND or MND.	Post, publish and/or mail Notice of Intent, Initial Study and proposed ND/MND to responsible, affected and interested agencies.	Prior to adoption of ND/MND; within the length of the public review period.	§21092 §15072 §15073
Receive comments from a public agency.	Incorporate and respond to received comments as necessary. Notify public agency of hearing on ND for which responses were received.	Satisfied if public hearing notice is provided to agency.	§21092.5(b)
Substantial revision of proposed ND/MND after circulation.	Recirculate document for comments to responsible, affected and interested agencies.	Not less than 20 days (30 if sent to State Clearinghouse).	§15073.5 §15105(b)
After proposed ND/MND is circulated and comments are incorporated.	Prepare and adopt a final Negative Declaration (ND) or Mitigated Negative Declaration (MND).	180 days after the application is accepted as complete.	§15071 §15107
After project approval.	File Notice of Determination (NOD) with County Recorder-Clerk.	If filed within 5 business days after LAFCO approval, the legal challenge period is 30 days, if not the challenge period is 180 days.	§15075 §15112
Environmental Impact Report			
After the Initial Study is completed and immediately after determining an EIR is necessary.	Send a Notice of Preparation to the OPR, responsible, affected, and interested agencies with a description of project and requesting agency responses.	Agency responses are to be received within 30 days.	§15082
After sending out the	The lead agency can begin to	The Draft EIR can be	§15082(a)(4)

Trigger Event	Action Required	Time Period	Code Section
Notice of Preparation.	prepare the Draft EIR.	started once the Notice of Preparation is sent out, but cannot be circulated until after the 30-day response period is complete.	§15084
After scoping meeting is requested.	Lead agency will convene a meeting/consultation to discuss information responsible agencies will need in EIR.	Within 30 days of meeting request (can be requested by any interested party).	§15104
Hiring a consultant after environmental determination.	Hire the consultant.	Within 45 days of sending out Notice of Preparation (applicant can consent extension).	§21151.5(b) §15084
After completing a Draft EIR.	File a Notice of Completion with the OPR.	As soon as the Draft EIR is completed.	§15085
After completing a Draft EIR.	Begin public review period.	Between 30 days (minimum) to 60 days (if warranted). Can be more than 60 days if there are "unusual circumstances." Not less than 45 days if sent to State Clearinghouse.**	§15105(a)
Provide public notice of availability of draft EIR.	Post, publish and/or mail notice of public review period to responsible, affected, and interested agencies.	Prior to certifying EIR; within the length of the public review period.	§15086 §15087
Receive comments from a public agency.	Respond to agency comments on a Draft EIR in writing.	At least 10 days before Final EIR is certified.	§21092.5(a) §15088
If significant new information added to draft EIR after circulation.	Recirculate document for comments to responsible, affected and interested agencies.	30 days minimum (to 60 days if warranted (at least 45 if sent to State Clearinghouse)).	§15088.5 §15105(a)
After draft EIR is circulated and comments are incorporated.	Prepare and certify a final Environmental Impact Report (EIR).	1 year after the application is accepted as complete (90-day extension possible).	§15089 §15108
After project approval.	File Notice of Determination (NOD) with County Recorder-Clerk.	If filed within 5 business days after LAFCO approval, the legal challenge period is 35 days, if not the challenge period is 180 days.	§15094 §15112

*The State Clearinghouse can shorten the public review period of a ND/MND to not less than 20 days when requested by a Lead Agency and due to special circumstances (§15106).

**The State Clearinghouse can shorten the public review period of an EIR to not less than 30 days when requested by a Lead Agency and due to special circumstances (§15106).

Exhibit J - Mitigation Verification Form

Project Name: _____

LAFCO No: _____

I. Mitigation Measure: (Needs to be exactly as adopted by the Commission.)

II. Required Implementation Actions:

By Other Public Agency:

- 1.
- 2.

By Private Project Applicant:

- 1.
- 2.

III. Required LAFCO Verification Actions:

- 1.
- 2.

Mitigation Completed on: _____

Verified By: _____

El Dorado Local Agency Formation Commission (LAFCO)
550 Main Street, Suite E, Placerville, CA 95667, TELEPHONE: (530) 295-2707

Notes: