

EL DORADO LAFCO

LOCAL AGENCY FORMATION COMMISSION

AGENDA OF SEPTEMBER 28, 2016

REGULAR MEETING

TO: Ken Humphreys, Chair, and
Members of the El Dorado County Local Agency Formation
Commission

FROM: José C. Henríquez, Executive Officer

AGENDA ITEM #9D: OTHER BUSINESS – EXECUTIVE OFFICER'S REPORT

RECOMMENDATION

None. This is informational only.

BACKGROUND

Staff notified the Commission about four potential projects at last month's meeting. Three of them had a common theme in that either all or part of each project would be coming to LAFCO prior to going through the planning process. I am pleased to report that after subsequent meetings with the applicants, two of them have agreed to go through the regular process and/or are dropping the non-planning process component prior to coming to LAFCO (the Chuck Holland project and Bass Lake North). Staff is waiting to hear from the third project (dubbed "El Dorado Commercial" by staff, identified by the lead applicant, Cesar Montes de Oca, in last month's report).

On September 14, 2016, I was invited to participate in a committee meeting of the El Dorado Hills County Water District (Fire Department) studying the issue of service and staffing levels at Station 91, the main station of the former Latrobe Fire Protection District (LTB). The discussion drifted to the topic of the annexation of the former LTB and whether it can be undone. My conclusion was that two separate issues were mixed together. The issue before the EDH Fire Board has to do with its budget; specifically, the identification of future service needs (especially in the El Dorado Hills area), the allocation of resources to meet those needs and the costs associated with that allocation. That the allocation was placed on Station 91, a placement made by the EDH Fire Board of Directors and absent an identified need for those resources in the Latrobe area, confused some. This led to the incorrect conclusion that the budget issues were attributable to the LTB annexation. The result of that discussion, I think, ultimately led towards the separation of those two topics.

The Placerville MSR was reviewed by staff and resent to QK for additional analysis and discussion. It will be extremely aggressive for the document to be ready for your review in December given that the next step is for the document to be sent to Placerville staff for their review and comment. As a result, I am targeting your review of the Placerville MSR for January.

Accommodations for Commissioners Anderly and Frentzen in Santa Barbara for the CALAFCO Conference are now complete. Unfortunately the mobile workshop filled up quickly, so Commissioner Frentzen is currently on the wait list.

Finally, this is a friendly reminder that the December meeting will include my performance review, as well as the consideration of renewing my contract. Counsel Ueda will be in touch to coordinate with you on the process.